arXiv:1502.04900v2 [math.ST] 9 May 2016

Statistical inference of 2-type critical Galton–Watson processes with immigration

Kristóf Körmendi$^{*,\diamond}$, Gyula Pap**

* MTA-SZTE Analysis and Stochastics Research Group, Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Aradi vértanúk tere 1, H–6720 Szeged, Hungary.

** Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Aradi vértanúk tere 1, H-6720 Szeged, Hungary.

e-mail: kormendi@math.u-szeged.hu (K. Körmendi), papgy@math.u-szeged.hu (G. Pap).

$\diamond$ Corresponding author.

Abstract

In this paper the asymptotic behavior of the conditional least squares estimators of the offspring mean matrix for a 2-type critical positively regular Galton–Watson branching process with immigration is described. We also study this question for a natural estimator of the spectral radius of the offspring mean matrix, which we call criticality parameter. We discuss the subcritical case as well.

1 Introduction

Branching processes have a number of applications in biology, finance, economics, queueing theory etc., see e.g. Haccou, Jagers and Vatutin [7]. Many aspects of applications in epidemiology, genetics and cell kinetics were presented at the 2009 Badajoz Workshop on Branching Processes, see [25].

The estimation theory for single-type Galton–Watson branching processes with immigration has a long history, see the survey paper of Winnicki [28]. The critical case is the most interesting and complicated one. There are two multi-type critical Galton–Watson processes with immigration for which statistical inference is available: the unstable integer-valued autoregressive models of order 2 (which can be considered as a special 2-type Galton–Watson branching process with immigration), see Barczy et al. [5] and the 2-type doubly symmetric process, see Ispány et al. [10]. In the present paper the asymptotic behavior of the conditional least squares (CLS) estimator of the offspring means and criticality parameter for the general 2-type critical positively regular Galton–Watson process with immigration is described, see Theorem 3.1. It

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 60J80, 62F12.

Key words and phrases: Galton–Watson branching process with immigration, conditional least squares estimator.

The research of G. Pap was realized in the frames of TÁMOP 4.2.4. A/2-11-1-2012-0001 ,,National Excellence Program – Elaborating and operating an inland student and researcher personal support system". The project was subsidized by the European Union and co-financed by the European Social Fund.

turns out that in a degenerate case this estimator is not even weakly consistent. We also study the asymptotic behavior of a natural estimator of the spectral radius of the offspring mean matrix, which we call criticality parameter. We discuss the subcritical case as well, but the supercritical case still remains open.

Let us recall the results for a single-type Galton–Watson branching process $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ with immigration. Assuming that the immigration mean m_ε is known, the CLS estimator of the offspring mean m_ξ based on the observations $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ has the form

$$\widehat{m}_\xi^{(n)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n X_{k-1}(X_k - m_\varepsilon)}{\sum_{k=1}^n X_{k-1}^2}$$

on the set $\sum_{k=1}^n X_{k-1}^2 > 0$, see Klimko ans Nelson [17]. Suppose that $m_\varepsilon > 0$, and the second moment of the branching and immigration distributions are finite.

If the process is subcritical, i.e., $m_\xi < 1$, then the probability of the existence of the estimator $\widehat{m}_\xi^{(n)}$ tends to 1 as $n \to \infty$, and the estimator $\widehat{m}_\xi^{(n)}$ is strongly consistent, i.e., $\widehat{m}_\xi^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} m_\xi$ as $n \to \infty$. If, in addition, the third moments of the branching and immigration distributions are finite, then

$$n^{1/2}(\widehat{m}_\xi^{(n)} - m_\xi) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{V_\xi \, \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}^3) + V_\varepsilon \, \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}^2)}{\left[\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}^2)\right]^2}\right) \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

where V_ξ and V_ε denote the offspring and immigration variance, respectively, and the distribution of the random variable $\widetilde{X}$ is the unique stationary distribution of the Markov chain $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. Klimko and Nelson [17] contains a similar results for the CLS estimator of (m_ξ, m_ε), and (1.1) can be derived by the method of that paper, see also Theorem 3.8. Note that $\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}^2)$ and $\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}^3)$ can be expressed by the first three moments of the branching and immigration distributions.

If the process is critical, i.e., $m_\xi = 1$, then the probability of the existence of the estimator $\widehat{m}_\xi^{(n)}$ tends to 1 as $n \to \infty$, and

$$n(\widehat{m}_\xi^{(n)} - 1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\int_0^1 \mathcal{X}_t \, \mathrm{d}(\mathcal{X}_t - m_\varepsilon t)}{\int_0^1 \mathcal{X}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where the process $(\mathcal{X}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is the unique strong solution of the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{X}_t = m_\varepsilon \, \mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{V_\xi \mathcal{X}_t^+} \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{W}_t, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

with initial value $\mathcal{X}_0 = 0$, where $(\mathcal{W}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is a standard Wiener process, and x^+ denotes the positive part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Wei and Winnicki [27] proved a similar results for the CLS estimator of the offspring mean when the immigration mean is unknown, and (1.2) can be derived by the method of that paper. Note that $\mathcal{X}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{X}$ as $n \to \infty$ with $\mathcal{X}_t^{(n)} := n^{-1} X_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the (lower) integer part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$, see Wei and Winnicki [26]. If, in addition, $V_\xi = 0$, then

$$n^{3/2}(\widehat{m}_\xi^{(n)} - 1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{3V_\varepsilon}{m_\varepsilon^2}\right) \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

see Ispány et al. [13].

If the process is supercritical, i.e., $m_\xi > 1$, then the probability of the existence of the estimator $\widehat{m}_\xi^{(n)}$ tends to 1 as $n \to \infty$, the estimator $\widehat{m}_\xi^{(n)}$ is strongly consistent, i.e., $\widehat{m}_\xi^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} m_\xi$ as $n \to \infty$, and

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k-1}\right)^{1/2} (\widehat{m}_\xi^{(n)} - m_\xi) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{(m_\xi + 1)^2}{m_\xi^2 + m_\xi + 1} V_\xi\right) \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

Wei and Winnicki [27] showed the same asymptotic behavior for the CLS estimator of the offspring mean when the immigration mean is unknown, and (1.4) can be derived by the method of that paper.

In Section 2 we recall some preliminaries on 2-type Galton–Watson models with immigration. Section 3 contains our main results. Section 4 contains a useful decomposition of the process. Sections 5, 6 and 7 contain the proofs. In Appendix A we present estimates for the moments of the processes involved. Appendix B is devoted to the CLS estimators. Appendix C and D is for a version of the continuous mapping theorem and for convergence of random step processes, respectively.

2 Preliminaries on 2-type Galton–Watson models with immigration

Let $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}_+$ denote the set of non-negative integers, positive integers, real numbers and non-negative real numbers, respectively. Every random variable will be defined on a fixed probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.

For each $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $i, \ell \in \{1, 2\}$, the number of individuals of type i in the k^{th} generation will be denoted by $X_{k,i}$, the number of type ℓ offsprings produced by the j^{th} individual who is of type i belonging to the $(k-1)^{\text{th}}$ generation will be denoted by $\xi_{k,j,i,\ell}$, and the number of type i immigrants in the k^{th} generation will be denoted by $\varepsilon_{k,i}$. Then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{k,1} \\ X_{k,2} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,1}} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{k,j,1,1} \\ \xi_{k,j,1,2} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,2}} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{k,j,2,1} \\ \xi_{k,j,2,2} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{k,1} \\ \varepsilon_{k,2} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.1}$$

Here $\big\{\boldsymbol{X}_0,\, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,i},\, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k : k, j \in \mathbb{N},\, i \in \{1, 2\}\big\}$ are supposed to be independent, where

$$\boldsymbol{X}_k := \begin{bmatrix} X_{k,1} \\ X_{k,2} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,i} := \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{k,j,i,1} \\ \xi_{k,j,i,2} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k := \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{k,1} \\ \varepsilon_{k,2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} : k, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2} : k, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are supposed to consist of identically distributed random vectors.

We suppose $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^2) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^2) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^2) < \infty$. Introduce the notations

$$\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i} := \mathbb{E}\big(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i}\big) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2, \qquad \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} := \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1} & \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{2\times 2}, \qquad \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} := \mathbb{E}\big(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\big) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2,$$

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i} := \operatorname{Var}\big(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i}\big) \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}, \qquad \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} := \operatorname{Var}\big(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\big) \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}, \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Note that many authors define the offspring mean matrix as $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\top$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $\mathcal{F}_k := \sigma\big(\boldsymbol{X}_0, \boldsymbol{X}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_k\big)$. By (2.1),

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) = X_{k-1,1}\, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1} + X_{k-1,2}\, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2} + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\, \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k) = \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\, \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}) + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

which implies

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k) = \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^k\, \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_0) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^j\, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence, the asymptotic behavior of the sequence $(\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ depends on the asymptotic behavior of the powers $(\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the offspring mean matrix, which is related to the spectral radius $r(\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) =: \varrho \in \mathbb{R}_+$ of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ (see the Frobenius–Perron theorem, e.g., Horn and Johnson [9, Theorems 8.2.11 and 8.5.1]). A 2-type Galton–Watson process $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ with immigration is referred to respectively as *subcritical*, *critical* or *supercritical* if $\varrho < 1$, $\varrho = 1$ or $\varrho > 1$ (see, e.g., Athreya and Ney [1, V.3] or Quine [21]). We will write the offspring mean matrix of a 2-type Galton–Watson process with immigration in the form

$$\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

We will focus only on *positively regular* 2-type Galton–Watson processes with immigration, i.e., when there is a positive integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the entries of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^k$ are positive (see Kesten and Stigum [16]), which is equivalent to $\beta, \gamma \in (0, \infty)$, $\alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\alpha + \delta > 0$. Then the matrix $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ has eigenvalues

$$\lambda_+ := \frac{\alpha + \delta + \sqrt{(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma}}{2}, \qquad \lambda_- := \frac{\alpha + \delta - \sqrt{(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma}}{2},$$

satisfying $\lambda_+ > 0$ and $-\lambda_+ < \lambda_- < \lambda_+$, hence the spectral radius of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ is

$$\varrho = r(\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) = \lambda_+ = \frac{\alpha + \delta + \sqrt{(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma}}{2}. \tag{2.5}$$

By the Perron theorem (see, e.g., Horn and Johnson [9, Theorems 8.2.11 and 8.5.1]),

$$\lambda_+^{-k} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^k \to \boldsymbol{u}_{\text{right}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\text{left}}^\top \qquad \text{as } k \to \infty,$$

where $\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}$ is the unique right eigenvector of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ (called the right Perron vector of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$) corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_+ such that the sum of its coordinates is 1, and $\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}$ is the unique left eigenvector of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ (called the left Perron vector of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$) corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_+ such that $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 1$, hence we have

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}} = \frac{1}{\beta + \lambda_+ - \alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ \lambda_+ - \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma + \lambda_+ - \delta \\ \beta + \lambda_+ - \alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

More exactly, using the so-called Putzer's spectral formula, see, e.g., Putzer [20], the powers of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^k &= \frac{\lambda_+^k}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_+ - \delta & \beta \\ \gamma & \lambda_+ - \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\lambda_-^k}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_+ - \alpha & -\beta \\ -\gamma & \lambda_+ - \delta \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \lambda_+^k \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top + \lambda_-^k \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{right}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{right}}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}$ are appropriate right and left eigenvectors of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$, respectively, belonging to the eigenvalue λ, for instance,

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{right}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \begin{bmatrix} -\beta - \lambda_+ + \alpha \\ \gamma + \lambda_+ - \delta \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} = \frac{1}{\beta + \lambda_+ - \alpha} \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda_+ + \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}.$$

The process $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is critical and positively regular if and only if $\alpha, \delta \in [0, 1)$ and $\beta, \gamma \in (0, \infty)$ with $\alpha + \delta > 0$ and $\beta\gamma = (1 - \alpha)(1 - \delta)$, and then the matrix $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_+ = 1$ and

$$\lambda_- = \alpha + \delta - 1 \in (-1, 1) =: \lambda.$$

Next we will recall a convergence result for critical and positively regular 2-type CBI processes. A function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is called *càdlàg* if it is right continuous with left limits. Let $\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathsf{C}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the space of all $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued càdlàg and continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{D}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the Borel σ-field in $\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ for the metric characterized by Jacod and Shiryaev [14, VI.1.15] (with this metric $\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a complete and separable metric space). For $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued stochastic processes $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_t^{(n)})_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with càdlàg paths we write $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}$ as $n \to \infty$ if the distribution of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}^{(n)}$ on the space $(\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{D}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d))$ converges weakly to the distribution of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}$ on the space $(\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{D}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d))$ as $n \to \infty$. Concerning the notation $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$ we note that if ξ and ξ_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are random elements with values in a metric space (E, ρ), then we also denote by $\xi_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \xi$ the weak convergence of the distributions of ξ_n on the space $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ towards the distribution of ξ on the space $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ as $n \to \infty$, where $\mathcal{B}(E)$ denotes the Borel σ-algebra on E induced by the given metric ρ.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the random step process

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(n)} := n^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

The following theorem is a special case of the main result in Ispány and Pap [12, Theorem 3.1].

2.1 Theorem. *Let* $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *be a 2-type Galton–Watson process with immigration such that* $\alpha, \delta \in [0, 1)$ *and* $\beta, \gamma \in (0, \infty)$ *with* $\alpha + \delta > 0$ *and* $\beta\gamma = (1 - \alpha)(1 - \delta)$ *(hence it is critical and positively regular),* $\boldsymbol{X}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^2) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^2) < \infty$ *and* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^2) < \infty$. *Then*

$$(2.7)\qquad (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(n)})_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} := (\mathcal{Z}_t \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}})_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

in $\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, *where* $(\mathcal{Z}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *is the pathwise unique strong solution of the SDE*

$$(2.8)\qquad \mathrm{d}\mathcal{Z}_t = \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle \mathcal{Z}_t^+}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{W}_t, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \qquad \mathcal{Z}_0 = 0,$$

where $(\mathcal{W}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *is a standard Brownian motion and*

$$(2.9)\qquad \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} := \sum_{i=1}^{2} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_i, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}} \rangle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i} = \frac{\beta \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1} + (1 - \alpha) \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2}}{\beta + 1 - \alpha}$$

is a mixed offspring variance matrix.

In fact, in Ispány and Pap [12, Theorem 3.1], the above result has been prooved under the higher moment assumptions $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^4) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^4) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^4) < \infty$, which have been relaxed in Danka and Pap [6, Theorem 3.1].

2.2 Remark. The SDE (3.8) has a unique strong solution $(\mathcal{Z}_t^{(z)})_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ for all initial values $\mathcal{Z}_0^{(z)} = z \in \mathbb{R}$, and if $z \geqslant 0$, then $\mathcal{Z}_t^{(z)}$ is nonnegative for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with probability one, hence $\mathcal{Z}_t^+$ may be replaced by $\mathcal{Z}_t$ under the square root in (3.8), see, e.g., Barczy et al. [4, Remark 3.3].

Clearly, $\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ depends only on the branching distributions, i.e., on the distributions of $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}$. Note that $\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{Y}_1 \,|\, \boldsymbol{Y}_0 = \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}})$, where $(\boldsymbol{Y}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a 2-type Galton–Watson process without immigration such that its branching distributions are the same as that of $(\boldsymbol{X}_t)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, since for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i} = \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{Y}_1 \,|\, \boldsymbol{Y}_0 = \boldsymbol{e}_i)$.

For the sake of simplicity, we consider a zero start Galton–Watson process with immigration, that is, we suppose $\boldsymbol{X}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$. The general case of nonzero initial value may be handled in a similar way, but we renounce to consider it. In the sequel we always assume $\boldsymbol{m}_\varepsilon \neq \boldsymbol{0}$, otherwise $\boldsymbol{X}_k = \boldsymbol{0}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

3 Main results

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any CLS estimator

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\alpha}_n & \widehat{\beta}_n \\ \widehat{\gamma}_n & \widehat{\delta}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

of the offspring mean matrix $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ based on a sample $\boldsymbol{X}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_n$ has the form

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} = \boldsymbol{B}_n \boldsymbol{A}_n^{-1} \tag{3.1}$$

on the set

$$\Omega_n := \{\omega \in \Omega : \det(\boldsymbol{A}_n(\omega)) > 0\}, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{A}_n := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^\top, \qquad \boldsymbol{B}_n := \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{X}_k - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}) \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^\top, \tag{3.3}$$

see Lemma B.1. The spectral radius ϱ given in (2.5) can be called *criticality parameter*, and its natural estimator is the spectral radius of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)}$, namely,

$$\widehat{\varrho}_n := r\big(\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)}\big) = \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_n + \widehat{\delta}_n + \sqrt{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n \widehat{\gamma}_n}}{2}, \tag{3.4}$$

on the set $\Omega_n \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_n$ with

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_n := \big\{\omega \in \Omega_n : (\widehat{\alpha}_n(\omega) - \widehat{\delta}_n(\omega))^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n(\omega)\widehat{\gamma}_n(\omega) \geqslant 0\big\}. \tag{3.5}$$

First we consider the critical and positively regular case. By Lemma B.6, $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_n) \to 1$ and $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{\Omega}_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$ under appropriate assumptions.

3.1 Theorem. *Let $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a 2-type Galton–Watson process with immigration such that $\alpha, \delta \in [0, 1)$ and $\beta, \gamma \in (0, \infty)$ with $\alpha + \delta > 0$ and $\beta\gamma = (1 - \alpha)(1 - \delta)$ (hence it is critical and positively regular), $\boldsymbol{X}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^8) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^8) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^8) < \infty$, and $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$.*

If $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2 > 0$, then the probability of the existence of the estimator $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)}$ tends to 1 as $n \to \infty$.

If $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle > 0$, then the probability of the existence of the estimator $\widehat{\varrho}_n$ tends to 1 as $n \to \infty$.

If $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle > 0$, then

$$n^{1/2}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{(1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2}}{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}} \, \frac{\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t}{\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top, \tag{3.6}$$

$$n(\widehat{\varrho}_n - 1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}(\mathcal{Y}_t - t\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle)}{\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t}, \tag{3.7}$$

as $n \to \infty$, with $\mathcal{Y}_t := \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is the unique strong solution of the SDE

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t = (\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^+)^{1/2} \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *and* $(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *are independent 2-dimenional standard Wiener processes.*

If $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$ *and* $\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2 > 0$, *then*

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}_3 + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}_4}{\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_1 := \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} \left[\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \,\mathrm{d}t - \left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \,\mathrm{d}t \right)^2 \right],$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2 := \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \,\mathrm{d}t,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}_3 := \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda} \left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \int_0^1 1 \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t - \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \,\mathrm{d}t \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t \right),$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}_4 := \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t.$$

3.2 Remark. If $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2 = 0$ then, by Lemma B.4, $(1 - \alpha)X_{k,1} \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \beta X_{k,2}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, hence there is no unique CLS estimator for the offspring mean matrix $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$. □

3.3 Remark. By Itô's formula, (3.8) yields that $(\mathcal{Y}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ satisfies the SDE (2.8) with initial value $\mathcal{Y}_0 = 0$. Indeed, by Itô's formula and the first 2-dimensional equation of the SDE (5.3) we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}_t = \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t + (\mathcal{Y}_t^+)^{1/2} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

If $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = \|\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2}\|^2 = 0$ then $\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} = \boldsymbol{0}$, hence $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}_t = \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \mathrm{d}t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, implying that the process $(\mathcal{Y}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ satisfies the SDE (2.8). If $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle \neq 0$ then the process

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_t := \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t \rangle}{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is a (one-dimensional) standard Wiener process, hence the process $(\mathcal{Y}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ satisfies the SDE (2.8).

Consequently, $(\mathcal{Y}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} (\mathcal{Z}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$, where $(\mathcal{Z}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is the unique strong solution of the SDE (2.8) with initial value $\mathcal{Z}_0 = 0$, hence, by Theorem 2.1,

$$(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(n)})_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} (\mathcal{Y}_t \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}})_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.10}$$

If $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$ then the unique strong solution of (2.8) is the deterministic function $\mathcal{Y}_t = \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \, t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and then, in (3.6), we have eventually asymptotic normality, since

$$\frac{\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t}{\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \,\mathrm{d}t} \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{4}{3} \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right),$$

and by (3.7), $n(\widehat{\varrho}_n - 1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0$, i.e., the scaling n is not proper. □

3.4 Remark. Note that $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$ is fulfilled if and only if $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,i} \rangle \overset{\mathrm{a.s.}}{=} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i}) \rangle$ for each $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$, i.e., the offspring point $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,i}$ lies almost surely on a line, orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}$ and containing the point $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i})$. Indeed, $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$ is fulfilled if and only if $\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = \mathbb{E}[\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,i} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,i}) \rangle^2] = 0$ for each $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

In a similar way, $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$ is fulfilled if and only if $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,i} \rangle \overset{\mathrm{a.s.}}{=} \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i}) \rangle$ for each $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$, i.e., the offspring point $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,i}$ lies almost surely on a line, orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}$ and containing the point $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i})$. □

3.5 Remark. We note that in the critical positively regular case the limit distribution for the CLS estimator of the offspring mean matrix $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ is concentrated on the 2-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{R}^2 \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \subset \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$. Surprisingly, the scaling factor of the CLS estimators of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ is $\sqrt{n}$, which is the same as in the subcritical case. The reason of this strange phenomenon can be understood from the joint asymptotic behavior of $\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n)$ and $\boldsymbol{D}_n \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n$ given in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. One of the decisive tools in deriving the needed asymptotic behavior is a good bound for the moments of the involved processes, see Corollary A.6. □

3.6 Remark. The shape of $\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\big(\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top\big) \big/ \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t$ in (3.6) is similar to the limit distribution of the Dickey–Fuller statistics for unit root test of AR(1) time series, see, e.g., Hamilton [8, 17.4.2 and 17.4.7] or Tanaka [24, (7.14) and Theorem 9.5.1], but it contains two independent 2-dimensional standard Wiener processes, which can be reduced to three 1-dimensional independent standard Wiener processes. This phenomenon is very similar to the appearance of two independent standard Wiener processes in limit theorems for CLS estimators of the variance of the offspring and immigration distributions for critical branching processes with immigration in Winnicki [29, Theorems 3.5 and 3.8]. Finally, note that the limit distribution of the CLS estimator of the offspring mean matrix $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ is always symmetric, although non-normal in (3.6). Indeed, since $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ and $(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ are independent, by the SDE (3.8), the processes $(\mathcal{Y}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ and $(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ are also independent, which yields that the limit distribution of the CLS estimator of the offspring mean matrix $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ in (3.6) is symmetric. □

3.7 Remark. We note that an eighth order moment condition on the offspring and immigration distributions in Theorem 3.1 is supposed (i.e., we suppose $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^8) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^8) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^8) < \infty$). However, it is important to remark that this condition is a technical one, we suspect that Theorem 3.1 remains true under lower order moment condition on the offspring and immigration distributions, but we renounce to consider it. □

In the subcritical case we have the folowing result. By Lemma B.7, $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_n) \to 1$ and $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{\Omega}_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$ under appropriate assumptions.

3.8 Theorem. *Let* $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *be a 2-type Galton–Watson process with immigration such that* $\alpha, \delta \in [0, 1)$ *and* $\beta, \gamma \in (0, \infty)$ *with* $\alpha + \delta > 0$ *and* $\beta\gamma < (1-\alpha)(1-\delta)$ *(hence it is subcritical and positively regular),* $\boldsymbol{X}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^2) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^2) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^2) < \infty$, $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$, *and at least one of the matrices* $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1}$, $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2}$, $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ *is invertible. Then the probability* *of the existence of the estimators* $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)}$ *and* $\widehat{\varrho}_n$ *tends to 1 as* $n \to \infty$*, and the estimators* $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)}$ *and* $\widehat{\varrho}_n$ *are strongly consistent, i.e.,* $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ *and* $\widehat{\varrho}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \varrho$ *as* $n \to \infty$*.*

If, in addition, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^6) < \infty$*,* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^6) < \infty$ *and* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^6) < \infty$*, then*

$$n^{1/2}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{Z}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$n^{1/2}(\widehat{\varrho}_n - \varrho) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{Z}) \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \mathcal{N}\big(0, \operatorname{Tr}\big[\boldsymbol{R}^{\otimes 2}\,\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}^{\otimes 2})\big]\big), \tag{3.12}$$

as $n \to \infty$*, where* $\boldsymbol{Z}$ *is a* 2×2 *random matrix having a normal distribution with zero mean and with*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Z}^{\otimes 2}) = \Bigg\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathbb{E}\big[(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i}))^{\otimes 2}\big]\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\widetilde{X}_i\Big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\top}\Big)^{\otimes 2}\Big] \\ + \mathbb{E}\big[(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1))^{\otimes 2}\big]\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\top}\Big)^{\otimes 2}\Big]\Bigg\}\Big(\Big[\mathbb{E}\Big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\top}\Big)\Big]^{\otimes 2}\Big)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

where the distribution of the 2-dimensional random vector $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}$ *is the unique stationary distribution of the Markov chain* $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$*, and*

$$\boldsymbol{R} := (\nabla r(\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}))^{\top} = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{I}_2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{(\alpha-\delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma}}\begin{bmatrix} \alpha - \delta & 2\beta \\ 2\gamma & \delta - \alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

We suspect that the moment assumptions might be relaxed to $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^3) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^3) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^3) < \infty$ by the method of Danka and Pap [6, Theorem 3.1].

4 Decomposition of the process

Applying (2.2), let us introduce the sequence

$$\boldsymbol{M}_k := \boldsymbol{X}_k - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) = \boldsymbol{X}_k - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.1}$$

of martingale differences with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$. By (4.1), the process $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ satisfies the recursion

$$\boldsymbol{X}_k = \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \boldsymbol{M}_k, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.2}$$

By (3.1), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \boldsymbol{D}_n \boldsymbol{A}_n^{-1}$$

on the set Ω_n given in (3.2), where $\boldsymbol{A}_n$ is defined in (3.3), and

$$\boldsymbol{D}_n := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{M}_k \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^{\top}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In the critical case, by (3.10) and Lemmas C.2 and C.3, one can derive

$$n^{-3}\boldsymbol{A}_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \,\mathrm{d}t\, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}^\top =: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \qquad \text{as} \;\; n\to\infty.$$

Indeed, let us apply Lemma C.2 and Lemma C.3 with $K : [0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$,

$$K(s,\boldsymbol{x}) := \boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^\top, \qquad (s,\boldsymbol{x}) \in [0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^2,$$

and $\mathcal{U} := \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}$, $\mathcal{U}^n := \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}^{(n)}$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|K(s,\boldsymbol{x}) - K(t,\boldsymbol{y})\| &= \|\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^\top - \boldsymbol{y}\boldsymbol{y}^\top\| = \|\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^\top - \boldsymbol{y}\boldsymbol{x}^\top + \boldsymbol{y}\boldsymbol{x}^\top - \boldsymbol{y}\boldsymbol{y}\|\\ &\leqslant \|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|\|\boldsymbol{x}\| + \|\boldsymbol{y}\|\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\| \leqslant 2R\big(|t-s| + \|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|\big)\end{aligned}$$

for all $s,t\in[0,1]$ and $\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|\leqslant R$ and $\|\boldsymbol{y}\|\leqslant R$, where $R>0$. Further, for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}^{(n)}) &= \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1^{(n)}, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k/n}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k/n}^{(n)})^\top\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{X}_n, \frac{1}{n^3}\sum_{k=1}^n \boldsymbol{X}_k\boldsymbol{X}_k^\top\right),\\ \Phi(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}) &= \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_1, \int_0^1 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_u\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_u^\top\,\mathrm{d}u\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using that, by (3.10), $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}^n, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}^n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}})$ as $n\to\infty$ and that the process $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}})_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ admits continuous paths with probability one, Lemma C.2 (with the choice $C := \mathsf{C}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R})$) and Lemma C.3 yield that

$$n^{-3}\boldsymbol{A}_n = \frac{1}{n^3}\sum_{k=1}^n \boldsymbol{X}_k\boldsymbol{X}_k^\top \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \int_0^1 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_u\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_u^\top\,\mathrm{d}u \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \int_0^1 (\mathcal{Y}_u\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}})(\mathcal{Y}_u\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}})^\top \mathrm{d}u = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$$

as $n\to\infty$. However, since $\det(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$, the continuous mapping theorem can not be used for determining the weak limit of the sequence $(n^3\boldsymbol{A}_n^{-1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We can write

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \boldsymbol{D}_n\boldsymbol{A}_n^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n)}\boldsymbol{D}_n\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n, \qquad n\in\mathbb{N}, \tag{4.3}$$

on the set Ω_n, where $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n$ denotes the adjugate of $\boldsymbol{A}_n$ (i.e., the matrix of cofactors) given by

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} X_{k-1,2}^2 & -X_{k-1,1}X_{k-1,2}\\ -X_{k-1,1}X_{k-1,2} & X_{k-1,1}^2\end{bmatrix}, \qquad n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 3.1 we will find the asymptotic behavior of the sequence $(\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n), \boldsymbol{D}_n\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. First we derive a useful decomposition for X_k, $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Let us introduce the sequence

$$U_k := \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{X}_k\rangle = \frac{(\gamma+1-\delta)X_{k,1} + (\beta+1-\alpha)X_{k,2}}{1-\lambda}, \qquad k\in\mathbb{Z}_+.$$

One can observe that $U_k \geqslant 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and

$$U_k = U_{k-1} + \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k \rangle, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.4}$$

since $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} \rangle = \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} = \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} = U_{k-1}$, because $\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}$ is a left eigenvector of the mean matrix $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ belonging to the eigenvalue 1. Hence $(U_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a nonnegative unstable AR(1) process with positive drift $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle$ and with heteroscedastic innovation $(\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k \rangle)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Note that the solution of the recursion (4.4) is

$$U_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_j + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.5}$$

and, by Remark 3.3 and Lemma C.2,

$$(n^{-1} U_{\lfloor nt \rfloor})_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} = (\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(n)} \rangle)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t \rangle)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} (\mathcal{Y}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{4.6}$$

where $(\mathcal{Y}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is the pathwise unique strong solution of the SDE (2.8). Moreover, let

$$V_k := \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{X}_k \rangle = \frac{-(1-\alpha) X_{k,1} + \beta X_{k,2}}{\beta + 1 - \alpha}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Note that we have

$$V_k = \lambda V_{k-1} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k \rangle, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.7}$$

since $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} \rangle = \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} = \lambda \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} = \lambda V_{k-1}$, because $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}$ is a left eigenvector of the mean matrix $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ belonging to the eigenvalue λ. Thus $(V_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a stable AR(1) process with drift $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle$ and with heteroscedastic innovation $(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k \rangle)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Note that the solution of the recursion (4.7) is

$$V_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda^{k-j} \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_j + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.8}$$

By (2.1) and (4.1), we obtain the decomposition

$$\boldsymbol{M}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,1}} \big(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1}) \big) + \sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,2}} \big(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2}) \big) + \big(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k) \big), \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.9}$$

Under the assumption $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$, by Remark 3.4, we have $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,i} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,i}) \rangle \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} 0$ for all $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and hence $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k \rangle \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k) \rangle$, implying $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k \rangle$ and, by (4.7),

$$V_k \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \lambda V_{k-1} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k \rangle, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.10}$$

The recursion (4.2) has the solution

$$\boldsymbol{X}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{k-j} (\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \boldsymbol{M}_j), \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consequently, using (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{X}_k &= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\boldsymbol{u}_{\text{right}}\boldsymbol{u}_{\text{left}}^\top + \lambda^{k-j}\boldsymbol{v}_{\text{right}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\text{left}}^\top\right)(\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \boldsymbol{M}_j) \\
&= \boldsymbol{u}_{\text{right}}\boldsymbol{u}_{\text{left}}^\top \sum_{j=1}^{k}(\boldsymbol{X}_j - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{X}_{j-1}) + \boldsymbol{v}_{\text{right}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\text{left}}^\top \sum_{j=1}^{k}\lambda^{k-j}(\boldsymbol{X}_j - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{X}_{j-1}) \\
&= \boldsymbol{u}_{\text{right}}\boldsymbol{u}_{\text{left}}^\top \sum_{j=1}^{k}(\boldsymbol{X}_j - \boldsymbol{X}_{j-1}) + \boldsymbol{v}_{\text{right}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\text{left}}^\top \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left[\lambda^{k-j}\boldsymbol{X}_j - \lambda^{k-j+1}\boldsymbol{X}_{j-1}\right] \\
&= \boldsymbol{u}_{\text{right}}\boldsymbol{u}_{\text{left}}^\top\boldsymbol{X}_k + \boldsymbol{v}_{\text{right}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\text{left}}^\top\boldsymbol{X}_k = U_k\boldsymbol{u}_{\text{right}} + V_k\boldsymbol{v}_{\text{right}},
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\boldsymbol{X}_k = \begin{bmatrix} X_{k,1} \\ X_{k,2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_{\text{right}} & \boldsymbol{v}_{\text{right}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_k \\ V_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\beta}{\beta+1-\alpha}U_k - \frac{\beta+1-\alpha}{1-\lambda}V_k \\ \frac{1-\alpha}{\beta+1-\alpha}U_k + \frac{\gamma+1-\delta}{1-\lambda}V_k \end{bmatrix}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{4.11}$$

This decomposition yields

$$\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} U_k^2\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} V_k^2\right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} U_k V_k\right)^2, \tag{4.12}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) &= \det\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^\top\right) \\
&= \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_{\text{right}} & \boldsymbol{v}_{\text{right}} \end{bmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} U_{k-1} \\ V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{k-1} \\ V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_{\text{right}} & \boldsymbol{v}_{\text{right}} \end{bmatrix}^\top\right) \\
&= \det\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} U_{k-1} \\ V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{k-1} \\ V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}^\top\right) \left[\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_{\text{right}} & \boldsymbol{v}_{\text{right}} \end{bmatrix}\right)\right]^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_{\text{right}} & \boldsymbol{v}_{\text{right}} \end{bmatrix}\right) = 1. \tag{4.13}$$

Theorem 3.1 will follow from the following statements by the continuous mapping theorem and by Slutsky's lemma.

4.1 Theorem. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold. If* $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle > 0$, *then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} n^{-5/2} U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n^{-3} U_{k-1}^2 \\ n^{-2} V_{k-1}^2 \\ n^{-2} \boldsymbol{M}_k U_{k-1} \\ n^{-3/2} \boldsymbol{M}_k V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \\ \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t \\ \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t \\ \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

In case of $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$ the second and fourth coordinates of the limit vector of the second convergence is 0 in Theorem 4.1, thus other scaling factors should be chosen for these coordinates, described in the following theorem.

4.2 Theorem. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold. If* $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$, *then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} n^{-1} V_{k-1}^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} =: M \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n^{-3} U_{k-1}^2 \\ n^{-2} U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \\ n^{-2} \boldsymbol{M}_k U_{k-1} \\ n^{-1} \boldsymbol{M}_k V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \\ \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t \\ \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t \\ \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_1 + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. In order to derive the statements, we can use the continuous mapping theorem and Slutsky's lemma.

Theorem 4.1 implies (3.6). Indeed, we can use the representation (4.3), where the adjugate $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n$ can be written in the form

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{\ell-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{\ell-1}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using (4.11), we have

$$\boldsymbol{D}_n \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{M}_k \begin{bmatrix} U_{k-1} \\ V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}^{\top} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{right}}^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}^{\top} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{right}}^{\top} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} U_{\ell-1} \\ V_{\ell-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{\ell-1} \\ V_{\ell-1} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}^{\top} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{right}}^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}^{\top} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{right}}^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}^{\top} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{right}}^{\top} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}^{\top} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{right}}^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^{\top} \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^{\top} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem 4.1 implies asymptotic expansions

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{M}_k \begin{bmatrix} U_{k-1} \\ V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}^\top = n^2 \boldsymbol{D}_{n,1} + n^{3/2} \boldsymbol{D}_{n,2},$$

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} U_{\ell-1} \\ V_{\ell-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{\ell-1} \\ V_{\ell-1} \end{bmatrix}^\top = n^3 \boldsymbol{A}_{n,1} + n^{5/2} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,2} + n^2 \boldsymbol{A}_{n,3},$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{n,1} := n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{M}_k U_{k-1} \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t \, \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top =: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_1,$$

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{n,2} := n^{-3/2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{M}_k V_{k-1} \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \, \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top =: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_2,$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{n,1} := n^{-3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} U_{\ell-1}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} =: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_1,$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{n,2} := n^{-5/2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U_{\ell-1} V_{\ell-1} \\ U_{\ell-1} V_{\ell-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{0},$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{n,3} := n^{-2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & V_{\ell-1}^2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} =: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_3$$

jointly as $n \to \infty$. Consequently, we obtain an asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{D}_n \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n &= (n^2 \boldsymbol{D}_{n,1} + n^{3/2} \boldsymbol{D}_{n,2}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (n^3 \boldsymbol{A}_{n,1} + n^{5/2} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,2} + n^2 \boldsymbol{A}_{n,3}) \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (n^5 \boldsymbol{C}_{n,1} + n^{9/2} \boldsymbol{C}_{n,2} + n^4 \boldsymbol{C}_{n,3} + n^{7/2} \boldsymbol{C}_{n,4}) \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{n,1} := \boldsymbol{D}_{n,1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,1} = n^{-5} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{M}_k U_{k-1} U_{\ell-1}^2 \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{n,2} := \boldsymbol{D}_{n,1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,2} + \boldsymbol{D}_{n,2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_1,$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{n,3} := \boldsymbol{D}_{n,1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,3} + \boldsymbol{D}_{n,2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_3,$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{n,4} := \boldsymbol{D}_{n,2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,3} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_3$$

as $n \to \infty$. Using again Theorem 4.1 and (4.12), we conclude

$$\begin{bmatrix} n^{-5} \det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) \\ n^{-9/2} \boldsymbol{D}_n \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_1 \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_1 \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \, \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$, by the SDE (2.8), we have $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}_t = 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0,1]) = 0$, which implies that $\mathbb{P}\big(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t > 0\big) = 1$, hence the continuous mapping theorem implies (3.6).

For the proof of (3.7), we can write $\widehat{\varrho}_n - 1$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\varrho}_n - 1 = \widehat{\varrho}_n - \varrho &= \frac{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha) + (\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta) + \sqrt{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n \widehat{\gamma}_n} - \sqrt{(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma}}{2} \\ &= \frac{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha) + (\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta)}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\big[(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha) - (\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta)\big]\big[(\widehat{\alpha}_n + \alpha) - (\widehat{\delta}_n + \delta)\big] + 4(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta)\widehat{\gamma}_n + 4(\widehat{\gamma}_n - \gamma)\beta}{2\Big(\sqrt{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n \widehat{\gamma}_n} + \sqrt{(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma}\Big)} \\ &= \frac{c_n}{2\Big(\sqrt{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n \widehat{\gamma}_n} + (1 - \lambda)\Big)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_n := (\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha)\Big[\sqrt{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n\widehat{\gamma}_n} + (1-\lambda) + (\widehat{\alpha}_n + \alpha) - (\widehat{\delta}_n + \delta)\Big] + 4(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta)\widehat{\gamma}_n \\
+ 4(\widehat{\gamma}_n - \gamma)\beta + (\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta)\Big[\sqrt{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n\widehat{\gamma}_n} + (1-\lambda) - (\widehat{\alpha}_n + \alpha) + (\widehat{\delta}_n + \delta)\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

Slutsky's lemma and (3.6) imply $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{0}$, and hence $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \boldsymbol{0}$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus $\widehat{\gamma}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \gamma$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\widehat{\alpha}_n + \alpha) - (\widehat{\delta}_n + \delta) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 2(\alpha - \delta), \\
&(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n\widehat{\gamma}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} (\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma = (2 - \alpha - \delta)^2 = (1-\lambda)^2
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \to \infty$. The aim of the following discussion is to show $n(c_n - d_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0$ as $n \to \infty$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_n &:= (\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha)\big[2(1-\lambda) + 2\alpha - 2\delta\big] + 4(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta)\gamma \\
&\quad + 4(\widehat{\gamma}_n - \gamma)\beta + (\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta)\big[2(1-\lambda) - 2\alpha + 2\delta\big] \\
&= 4(1-\delta)(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha) + 4\gamma(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta) + 4\beta(\widehat{\gamma}_n - \gamma) + 4(1-\alpha)(\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta).
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(c_n - d_n) = n\Big[\sqrt{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n\widehat{\gamma}_n} - (1-\lambda)\Big]\big[(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha) + (\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta)\big] \\
+ n\big[(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha) - (\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta)\big]^2 + 4n(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta)(\widehat{\gamma}_n - \gamma),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n\widehat{\gamma}_n} - (1-\lambda) \\
&\qquad = \frac{\big[(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha) - (\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta)\big]\big[(\widehat{\alpha}_n + \alpha) - (\widehat{\delta}_n + \delta)\big] + 4(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta)\widehat{\gamma}_n + 4(\widehat{\gamma}_n - \gamma)\beta}{\sqrt{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n\widehat{\gamma}_n} + (1-\lambda)},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $n(c_n - d_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0$ as $n \to \infty$ will follow from

$$
\begin{aligned}
&n\big\{\big[(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha) - (\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta)\big]\big[(\widehat{\alpha}_n + \alpha) - (\widehat{\delta}_n + \delta)\big] + 4(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta)\widehat{\gamma}_n + 4(\widehat{\gamma}_n - \gamma)\beta\big\} \\
&\times \big[(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha) + (\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta)\big] \\
&+ n\Big[\sqrt{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n\widehat{\gamma}_n} + (1-\lambda)\Big] \\
&\quad \times \Big\{\big[(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha) - (\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta)\big]^2 + 4(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta)(\widehat{\gamma}_n - \gamma)\Big\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.14}
$$

By (3.6) we have

$$n^{1/2}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$

with

$$\mathcal{C} := \frac{(1-\lambda)^{1/2}}{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{bmatrix} n^{1/2}(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha) \\ n^{1/2}(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta) \\ n^{1/2}(\widehat{\gamma}_n - \gamma) \\ n^{1/2}(\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta) \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_2 \\ \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\mathcal{C}}{\beta + 1 - \alpha} \begin{bmatrix} -(1-\alpha)\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \\ \beta \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \\ -(1-\alpha)\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \\ \beta \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \end{bmatrix}$$

as $n \to \infty$ with $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} := \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t$. By continuous mapping theorem,

$$\begin{bmatrix} n(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha)^2 \\ n(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha)(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta) \\ n(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha)(\widehat{\gamma}_n - \gamma) \\ n(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha)(\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta) \\ n(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta)(\widehat{\gamma}_n - \gamma) \\ n(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta)(\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta) \\ n(\widehat{\gamma}_n - \gamma)(\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta) \\ n(\widehat{\delta}_n - \delta)^2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\mathcal{C}^2}{(\beta + 1 - \alpha)^2} \begin{bmatrix} (1-\alpha)^2 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \\ -(1-\alpha)\beta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \\ (1-\alpha)^2 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \\ -(1-\alpha)\beta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \\ -(1-\alpha)\beta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \\ \beta^2 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \\ -(1-\alpha)\beta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_2 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \\ \beta^2 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_2 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} \end{bmatrix}$$

as $n \to \infty$, and by continuous mapping theorem, Slutskys lemma and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ as $n \to \infty$, we conclude (4.14), since

$$\begin{aligned} &2(\alpha - \delta)\big[(1-\alpha)^2 \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top - \beta^2 \boldsymbol{e}_2 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top\big] + 4\gamma\big[-(1-\alpha)\beta \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top + \beta^2 \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top\big] \\ &+ 4\beta\big[(1-\alpha)^2 \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top - (1-\alpha)\beta \boldsymbol{e}_2 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top\big] \\ &+ 2(1-\lambda)\big[(1-\alpha)^2 \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top + 2(1-\alpha)\beta \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top + \beta^2 \boldsymbol{e}_2 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top - 4(1-\alpha)\beta \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top\big] \\ &= \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \big[2(\alpha - \delta)(1-\alpha)^2 - 4\beta\gamma(1-\alpha) + 2(1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)^2\big] \\ &\quad + \boldsymbol{e}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \big[4\beta^2\gamma + 4\beta(1-\alpha)^2 - 4\beta(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)\big] \\ &\quad + \boldsymbol{e}_2 \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \big[-2\beta^2(\alpha - \delta) - 4\beta^2(1-\alpha) + 2\beta^2(1-\lambda)\big] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, (3.7) will follow from

$$\frac{n d_n}{2\Big[\sqrt{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n \widehat{\gamma}_n} + (1-\lambda)\Big]} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}(\mathcal{Y}_t - t\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle)}{\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t} \tag{4.15}$$

as $n \to \infty$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &= 4(1-\delta)\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top(\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})\boldsymbol{e}_1 + 4\gamma\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top(\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})\boldsymbol{e}_2 \\ &\quad + 4\beta\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top(\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})\boldsymbol{e}_1 + 4(1-\alpha)\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top(\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})\boldsymbol{e}_2. \end{aligned}$$

We use again the representation (4.3) and the asymptotic expansion of $\boldsymbol{D}_n\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{D}_{n,1}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,2}\begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} &= -n^{-9/2}\sum_{k=1}^n\sum_{\ell=1}^n \boldsymbol{M}_k U_{k-1}U_{\ell-1}V_{\ell-1}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top, \\ \boldsymbol{D}_{n,2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,1}\begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} &= n^{-9/2}\sum_{k=1}^n\sum_{\ell=1}^n \boldsymbol{M}_k V_{k-1}U_{\ell-1}^2\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top, \end{aligned}$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} &\Bigg((1-\delta)\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top\boldsymbol{D}_{n,1}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,2}\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \gamma\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top\boldsymbol{D}_{n,1}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,2}\boldsymbol{e}_2 \\ &\quad + \beta\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top\boldsymbol{D}_{n,1}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,2}\boldsymbol{e}_1 + (1-\alpha)\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top\boldsymbol{D}_{n,1}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,2}\boldsymbol{e}_2\Bigg)\begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{0}, \\ &\Bigg((1-\delta)\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top\boldsymbol{D}_{n,2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,1}\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \gamma\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top\boldsymbol{D}_{n,2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,1}\boldsymbol{e}_2 \\ &\quad + \beta\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top\boldsymbol{D}_{n,2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,1}\boldsymbol{e}_1 + (1-\alpha)\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top\boldsymbol{D}_{n,2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,1}\boldsymbol{e}_2\Bigg)\begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{0} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &(1-\delta)\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top\boldsymbol{D}_{n,2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,2}\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \gamma\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top\boldsymbol{D}_{n,2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,2}\boldsymbol{e}_2 \\ &\quad + \beta\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top\boldsymbol{D}_{n,2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,2}\boldsymbol{e}_1 + (1-\alpha)\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top\boldsymbol{D}_{n,2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{A}_{n,2}\boldsymbol{e}_2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \boldsymbol{0} \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Moreover,

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_1\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_3\begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle}{1-\lambda^2}\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}t\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t\,\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top.$$

Using again Theorem 4.1 and (4.12), we conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{n d_n}{2\Big[\sqrt{(\widehat{\alpha}_n-\widehat{\delta}_n)^2+4\widehat{\beta}_n\widehat{\gamma}_n}+(1-\lambda)\Big]} \\
&\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2\,\mathrm{d}t}\bigg((1-\delta)\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t\,\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_1+\gamma\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t\,\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_2 \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +\beta\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t\,\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_1+(1-\alpha)\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t\,\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{e}_2\bigg) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^2\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2\,\mathrm{d}t}\bigg(\big[(1-\delta)(\gamma+1-\delta)+\gamma(\beta+1-\alpha)\big]\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +\big[\beta(\gamma+1-\delta)+(1-\delta)(\beta+1-\alpha)\big]\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t\bigg) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2\,\mathrm{d}t}\bigg((\gamma+1-\delta)\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t+(\beta+1-\alpha)\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t\bigg) \\
&= \frac{\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t\rangle}{\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2\,\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{Y}_t-t\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle)}{\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2\,\mathrm{d}t}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n\to\infty$.

Next we show that Theorem 4.2 yields (3.9). Theorem 4.2 implies asymptotic expansions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^n \boldsymbol{M}_k \begin{bmatrix} U_{k-1} \\ V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}^\top &= n^2\boldsymbol{D}_{n,1}+n\boldsymbol{D}_{n,2}, \\
\sum_{\ell=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} U_{\ell-1} \\ V_{\ell-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{\ell-1} \\ V_{\ell-1} \end{bmatrix}^\top &= n^3\boldsymbol{A}_{n,1}+n^2\boldsymbol{A}_{n,2}+n\boldsymbol{A}_{n,3},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{n,1} := n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{M}_k U_{k-1} \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t \, \boldsymbol{e}_1^\top =: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_1,$$

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{n,2} := n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{M}_k V_{k-1} \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top$$

$$\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_1 + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \right) \boldsymbol{e}_2^\top =: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_2,$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{n,1} := n^{-3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} U_{\ell-1}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} =: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_1,$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{n,2} := n^{-2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U_{\ell-1} V_{\ell-1} \\ U_{\ell-1} V_{\ell-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} =: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_2,$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{n,3} := n^{-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & V_{\ell-1}^2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} =: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_3$$

jointly as $n \to \infty$. Consequently, we obtain an asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{D}_n \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n &= (n^2 \boldsymbol{D}_{n,1} + n \boldsymbol{D}_{n,2}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (n^3 \boldsymbol{A}_{n,1} + n^2 \boldsymbol{A}_{n,2} + n \boldsymbol{A}_{n,3}) \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (n^5 \boldsymbol{C}_{n,1} + n^4 \boldsymbol{C}_{n,2} + n^3 \boldsymbol{C}_{n,3} + n^2 \boldsymbol{C}_{n,4}) \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{n,1} := \boldsymbol{D}_{n,1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,1} = \boldsymbol{0} \qquad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{n,2} := \boldsymbol{D}_{n,1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,2} + \boldsymbol{D}_{n,2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_2 + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_1,$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{n,3} := \boldsymbol{D}_{n,1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,3} + \boldsymbol{D}_{n,2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_3 + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_2,$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{n,4} := \boldsymbol{D}_{n,2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{n,3} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_3$$

as $n \to \infty$. Using again Theorem 4.1 and (4.12), we conclude

$$\begin{bmatrix} n^{-4}\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) \\ n^{-4}\boldsymbol{D}_n\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_n \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2\,\mathrm{d}t\left(\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2}+\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle}{1-\lambda^2}\right)-\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2}\left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^2 \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_1\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_2\begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix}+\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_2\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_1\begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Here

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2\,\mathrm{d}t\left(\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2}+\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle}{1-\lambda^2}\right)-\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2}\left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^2 = \mathcal{I}_1+\mathcal{I}_2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_1\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_2\begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda}\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}t\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t\,\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda}\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}t\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t\,\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_2\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_1\begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2\,\mathrm{d}t\left(\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_1+\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}}\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2}\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t\right)\boldsymbol{e}_2^\top\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2\,\mathrm{d}t\left(\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_1+\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}}\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2}\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t\right)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$, by the SDE (2.8), we have $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}_t = 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0,1]) = 0$, which implies that $\mathbb{P}\big(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2\,\mathrm{d}t \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}t > 0\big) = 1$, hence the continuous mapping theorem implies (3.9). □

5 Proof of Theorem 4.1

The first convergence in Theorem 4.1 follows from Lemma B.3.

For the second convergence in Theorem 4.1, consider the sequence of stochastic processes

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t^{(n)} := \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t^{(n)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_t^{(n)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_t^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} := \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)} := \begin{bmatrix} n^{-1}\boldsymbol{M}_k \\ n^{-2}\boldsymbol{M}_k U_{k-1} \\ n^{-3/2}\boldsymbol{M}_k V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n^{-1} \\ n^{-2}U_{k-1} \\ n^{-3/2}V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_k$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\otimes$ denotes Kronecker product of matrices. The second convergence in Theorem 4.1 follows from Lemma B.2 and the following theorem (this will be explained after Theorem 5.1).

5.1 Theorem. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 hold. Then we have*

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} \qquad \text{as} \ \ n \to \infty, \tag{5.1}$$

where the process $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *with values in* $(\mathbb{R}^2)^3$ *is the unique strong solution of the SDE*

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t = \gamma(t, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t) \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t \\ \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \end{bmatrix}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{5.2}$$

with initial value $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$, *where* $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *and* $(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *are independent 2-dimensional standard Wiener processes, and* $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{R}^2)^3 \to (\mathbb{R}^{2\times2})^{3\times2}$ *is defined by*

$$\gamma(t, \boldsymbol{x}) := \begin{bmatrix} (\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{x}_1 + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^+)^{1/2} & 0 \\ (\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{x}_1 + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^+)^{3/2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{x}_1 + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \otimes \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ *and* $\boldsymbol{x} = (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{x}_3) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^3$.

(Note that the statement of Theorem 5.1 holds even if $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$, when the last 2-dimensional coordinate process of the unique strong solution $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is $\boldsymbol{0}$.)

The SDE (5.2) has the form

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t \\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_t \\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^+)^{1/2}\, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2}\, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t \\ (\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^+)^{3/2}\, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2}\, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t \\ \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle\, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2}\, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \end{bmatrix}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{5.3}$$

One can prove that the first 2-dimensional equation of the SDE (5.3) has a pathwise unique strong solution $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t^{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)})_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ with arbitrary initial value $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_0^{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)} = \boldsymbol{y}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Indeed, it is equivalent to the existence of a pathwise unique strong solution of the SDE

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\mathcal{S}_t = \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \, \mathrm{d}t + (\mathcal{S}_t^+)^{1/2}\, \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2}\, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t, \\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}_t = -\boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \, \mathrm{d}t + (\mathcal{S}_t^+)^{1/2}\, \big(\boldsymbol{I}_2 - \boldsymbol{\Pi}\big) \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2}\, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t, \end{cases} \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{5.4}$$

with initial value $\big(\mathcal{S}_0^{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}_0^{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)}\big) = \big(\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{y}_0 \rangle, (\boldsymbol{I}_2 - \boldsymbol{\Pi})\boldsymbol{y}_0\big) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, where $\boldsymbol{I}_2$ denotes the 2-dimensional unit matrix and $\boldsymbol{\Pi} := \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top$, since we have the correspondences

$$\mathcal{S}_t^{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)} = \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t^{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)} + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}), \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}_t^{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t^{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)} - \mathcal{S}_t^{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t^{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}_t^{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)} + \mathcal{S}_t^{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}},$$

see the proof of Ispány and Pap [12, Theorem 3.1]. By Remark 2.2, $\mathcal{S}_t^+$ may be replaced by $\mathcal{S}_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ in the first equation of (5.4) provided that $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{y}_0 \rangle \in \mathbb{R}_+$, hence

$\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^+$ may be replaced by $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ in (5.3). Thus the SDE (5.2) has a pathwise unique strong solution with initial value $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$, and we have

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_t \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^{1/2} \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_s \\ \int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s \\ \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_s \end{bmatrix}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

By the method of the proof of $\mathcal{X}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{X}$ in Theorem 3.1 in Barczy et al. [4], applying Lemma C.2, one can easily derive

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}^{(n)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(n)} := n^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}, \qquad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_t := \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

More precisely, using that

$$\boldsymbol{X}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{k-j} (\boldsymbol{M}_j + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}), \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}^{(n)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = \psi_n(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)}), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the mapping $\psi_n : \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, (\mathbb{R}^2)^3) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, (\mathbb{R}^2)^4)$ is given by

$$\psi_n(f_1, f_2, f_3)(t) := \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor - j} \left(f_1\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) - f_1\left(\frac{j-1}{n}\right) + \frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}}{n} \right) \\ f_1(t) \\ f_2(t) \\ f_3(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

for $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^2)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} \end{bmatrix} = \psi(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}),$$

where the mapping $\psi : \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, (\mathbb{R}^2)^3) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, (\mathbb{R}^2)^4)$ is given by

$$\psi(f_1, f_2, f_3)(t) := \begin{bmatrix} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, f_1(t) + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}} \\ f_1(t) \\ f_2(t) \\ f_3(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

for $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. By page 603 in Barczy et al. [4], the mappings ψ_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and ψ are measurable (the latter one is continuous too), since the coordinate functions are measurable. Using page 604 in Barczy et al. [4], we obtain that the set

$$C := \big\{ f \in \mathsf{C}(\mathbb{R}_+, (\mathbb{R}^2)^3) : f(0) = \mathbf{0} \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^3 \big\}$$

has the properties $C \subseteq C_{\psi,(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}}$ with $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, (\mathbb{R}^2)^3))$ and $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} \in C) = 1$, where $C_{\psi,(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}}$ is defined in Appendix C. Hence, by (5.1) and Lemma C.2, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}^{(n)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = \psi_n(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \psi(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}) = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

as desired.

Next, similarly to the proof of (B.5), by Lemma C.3, convergence (5.5) with $U_{k-1} = \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} \rangle$ and Lemma B.2 implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n^{-3} U_{k-1}^2 \\ n^{-2} V_{k-1}^2 \\ n^{-2} \boldsymbol{M}_k U_{k-1} \\ n^{-3/2} \boldsymbol{M}_k V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^1 \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_t \rangle^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \\ \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_t \rangle \, \mathrm{d}t \\ \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t \\ \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

This limiting random vector can be written in the form as given in Theorem 4.1, since $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_t \rangle = \mathcal{Y}_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. In order to show convergence $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}$, we apply Theorem D.1 with the special choices $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} := \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}$, $\boldsymbol{U}_k^{(n)} := \boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)}$, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\mathcal{F}_k^{(n)})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} := (\mathcal{F}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ and the function γ which is defined in Theorem 5.1. Note that the discussion after Theorem 5.1 shows that the SDE (5.2) admits a unique strong solution $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t^{\boldsymbol{z}})_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ for all initial values $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_0^{\boldsymbol{z}} = \boldsymbol{z} \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^3$.

Now we show that conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem D.1 hold. The conditional variance has the form

$$\operatorname{Var}\big(\boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\big) = \begin{bmatrix} n^{-2} & n^{-3} U_{k-1} & n^{-5/2} V_{k-1} \\ n^{-3} U_{k-1} & n^{-4} U_{k-1}^2 & n^{-7/2} U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \\ n^{-5/2} V_{k-1} & n^{-7/2} U_{k-1} V_{k-1} & n^{-3} V_{k-1}^2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, with $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} := \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{M}_k \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1})$, and $\gamma(s, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_s^{(n)}) \gamma(s, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_s^{(n)})^\top$ has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle & \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2 & \mathbf{0} \\ \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2 & \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\xi}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where we used that $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^+ = \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle$, $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, by (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor ns \rfloor} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{X}_k - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor ns \rfloor} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{X}_k - \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle + s\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \qquad (5.6) \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{X}_{\lfloor ns \rfloor} \rangle + \frac{ns - \lfloor ns \rfloor}{n}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle = \frac{1}{n}U_{\lfloor ns \rfloor} + \frac{ns - \lfloor ns \rfloor}{n}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}_+
\end{aligned}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top$ implies $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} \rangle = \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} = \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} = \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} \rangle$.

In order to check condition (i) of Theorem D.1, we need to prove that for each $T > 0$,

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - \int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \, \mathrm{d}s \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \frac{1}{n^3}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1}\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - \int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2 \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \, \mathrm{d}s \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{5.8}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \frac{1}{n^4}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1}^2\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - \int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^3 \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \, \mathrm{d}s \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{5.9}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \frac{1}{n^3}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-1}^2\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2}\int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2 \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \, \mathrm{d}s \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{5.10}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \frac{1}{n^{5/2}}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-1}\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{5.11}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \frac{1}{n^{7/2}}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{5.12}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

First we show (5.7). By (5.6),

$$\int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \, \mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor - 1} U_k + \frac{nt - \lfloor nt \rfloor}{n^2}U_{\lfloor nt \rfloor} + \frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor + (nt - \lfloor nt \rfloor)^2}{2n^2}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle.$$

Using Lemma A.1, we have $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} = U_{k-1}\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + V_{k-1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$, thus, in order to show (5.7), it suffices to prove

$$n^{-2}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor}|V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-2}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{5.13}$$

$$n^{-2}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\big[\lfloor nt\rfloor + (nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor)^2\big] \to 0 \tag{5.14}$$

as $n\to\infty$. Using (A.6) with $(\ell,i,j)=(2,0,1)$ and (A.7) with $(\ell,i,j)=(2,1,0)$, we have (5.13). Clearly, (5.14) follows from $|nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor|\leqslant 1$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, thus we conclude (5.7).

Next we turn to prove (5.8). By (5.6),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2 \,\mathrm{d}s &= \frac{1}{n^3}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1} U_k^2 + \frac{1}{n^3}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1} U_k + \frac{nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor}{n^3} U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{(nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor)^2}{n^3}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor} + \frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor + (nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor)^3}{3n^3}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma A.1, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}. \tag{5.15}$$

Thus, in order to show (5.8), it suffices to prove

$$n^{-3}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor}|U_kV_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-3}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor}U_k \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-3/2}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{5.16}$$

$$n^{-3}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\big[\lfloor nt\rfloor + (nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor)^3\big] \to 0 \tag{5.17}$$

as $n\to\infty$. By (A.6) with $(\ell,i,j)=(2,1,1)$ and $(\ell,i,j)=(2,1,0)$, and by (A.13), we have (5.16). Clearly, (5.17) follows from $|nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor|\leqslant 1$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, thus we conclude (5.8).

Now we turn to check (5.9). Again by (5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^3 \,\mathrm{d}s &= \frac{1}{n^4}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1} U_k^3 + \frac{3}{2n^4}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1} U_k^2 + \frac{1}{n^4}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1} U_k \\ &\quad + \frac{nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor}{n^4} U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}^3 + \frac{3(nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor)^2}{2n^4}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{(nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor)^3}{n^4}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2 U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor} + \frac{\lfloor nt\rfloor + (nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor)^4}{4n^4}\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^3.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma A.1, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}^2\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}^3 \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}^2V_{k-1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}^2\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}. \tag{5.18}$$

Thus, in order to show (5.9), it suffices to prove

$$n^{-4}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor}|U_k^2V_k|\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0,\qquad n^{-4}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor}U_k^2\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0,\tag{5.19}$$

$$n^{-4}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor}U_k\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0,\qquad n^{-4/3}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0,\tag{5.20}$$

$$n^{-4}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left[\lfloor nt\rfloor+(nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor)^4\right]\to 0\tag{5.21}$$

as $n\to\infty$. By (A.6) with $(\ell,i,j)=(4,2,1)$, $(\ell,i,j)=(4,2,0)$, and $(\ell,i,j)=(2,1,0)$, and by (A.13), we have (5.19) and (5.20). Clearly, (5.21) follows again from $|nt-\lfloor nt\rfloor|\leqslant 1$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, thus we conclude (5.9).

Next we turn to prove (5.10). First we show that

$$n^{-3}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}V_{k-1}^2\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k}-\frac{\langle\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle}{1-\lambda^2}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}U_{k-1}^2\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right\|\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0\tag{5.22}$$

as $n\to\infty$ for all $T>0$. Using Lemma A.1, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}V_{k-1}^2\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k}=\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}V_{k-1}^3\widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}V_{k-1}^2\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}.\tag{5.23}$$

Using (A.6) with $(\ell,i,j)=(6,0,3)$ and $(\ell,i,j)=(4,0,2)$, we have

$$n^{-3}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor}|V_k|^3\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0,\qquad n^{-3}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor}V_k^2\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0\qquad\text{as } n\to\infty,$$

hence (5.22) will follow from

$$n^{-3}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2-\frac{\langle\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle}{1-\lambda^2}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}U_{k-1}^2\right\|\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0\qquad\text{as } n\to\infty\tag{5.24}$$

for all $T>0$. The aim of the following discussion is to decompose $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2$ as a sum of a martingale and some other terms. Using recursions (4.7), (4.4) and formulas (A.1) and (A.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})&=\mathbb{E}\Big(\big(U_{k-2}+\langle\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{M}_{k-1}+\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle\big)\big(\lambda V_{k-2}+\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{M}_{k-1}+\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle\big)^2\,\Big|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2}\Big)\\&=\lambda^2U_{k-2}V_{k-2}^2+\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top\,\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{M}_{k-1}\boldsymbol{M}_{k-1}^\top\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\,U_{k-2}\\&\quad+\text{constant}+\text{linear combination of } U_{k-2}V_{k-2},\ V_{k-2}^2,\ U_{k-2}\ \text{and}\ V_{k-2}\\&=\lambda^2U_{k-2}V_{k-2}^2+\langle\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}},\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle\,U_{k-2}^2+\text{constant}\\&\quad+\text{linear combination of } U_{k-2}V_{k-2},\ V_{k-2}^2,\ U_{k-2}\ \text{and}\ V_{k-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 &= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] + \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] + \lambda^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2}V_{k-2}^2 + \langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2}^2 \\ &\qquad + \mathrm{O}(n) + \text{linear combination of } \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2}V_{k-2}, \ \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-2}^2, \ \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2} \ \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] \\ &\qquad + \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2}^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{1-\lambda^2} U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1} V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1}^2 + \mathrm{O}(n) \\ &\qquad + \text{linear combination of } \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2}V_{k-2}, \ \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-2}^2, \ \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2} \ \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.8) with $(\ell, i, j) = (8, 1, 2)$ we have

$$n^{-3} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus, in order to show (5.24), it suffices to prove

$$n^{-3} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} |U_k V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-3} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} V_k^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{5.25}$$

$$n^{-3} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} U_k \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-3} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} |V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{5.26}$$

$$n^{-3} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-3/2} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{5.27}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Using (A.6) with $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 1, 1)$; $(\ell, i, j) = (4, 0, 2)$; $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 1, 0)$, and $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 0, 1)$, we have (5.25) and (5.26). By (A.7) with $(\ell, i, j) = (4, 1, 2)$, and by (A.13), we have (5.27). Thus we conclude (5.24), and hence (5.22). By Lemma A.1 and (A.6) with $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 1, 1)$ and $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 1, 0)$, we get

$$n^{-3} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{5.28}$$

as $n \to \infty$ for all $T > 0$. As a last step, using (5.8), we obtain (5.10).

For (5.11), consider

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-1} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-1}^2 \widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-1} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}, \tag{5.29}$$

where we used Lemma A.1. Using (A.6) with $(\ell, i, j) = (4, 0, 2)$, and $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 0, 1)$, we have

$$n^{-5/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} V_k^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-5/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} |V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

hence (5.11) follows from Lemma B.3.

Convergence (5.12) can be handled in the same way as (5.11). For completeness we present all of the details. By Lemma A.1, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} V_{k-1}^2 \widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}. \tag{5.30}$$

Using (A.6) with $(\ell, i, j) = (4, 1, 2)$, and $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 1, 1)$, we have

$$n^{-7/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} U_{k-1} V_{k-1}^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-7/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} |U_{k-1} V_{k-1}| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

hence (5.12) will follow from

$$n^{-7/2} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{5.31}$$

The aim of the following discussion is to decompose $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1}$ as a sum of a martingale and some other terms. Using recursions (4.7), (4.4) and Lemma A.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) &= \mathbb{E}\Big((U_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle)^2 \big(\lambda V_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \big) \,\Big|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \Big) \\ &= \lambda U_{k-2}^2 V_{k-2} + \text{constant} + \text{linear combination of } U_{k-2},\, V_{k-2},\, U_{k-2}^2,\, V_{k-2}^2 \text{ and } U_{k-2} V_{k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} &= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \big] + \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \big] + \lambda \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2}^2 V_{k-2} + \mathrm{O}(n) \\ &\quad + \text{linear combination of } \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2},\ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-2},\ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2}^2,\ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-2}^2 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2} V_{k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1}^2 V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1}$$

$$+ \mathrm{O}(n) + \text{linear combination of } \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2}, \ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-2}, \ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2}^2, \ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-2}^2 \ \text{ and } \ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2} V_{k-2}.$$

Using (A.8) with $(\ell, i, j) = (8, 2, 1)$ we have

$$n^{-7/2} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus, in order to show (5.31), it suffices to prove

$$n^{-7/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} U_k \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-7/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} U_k^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-7/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} |V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{5.32}$$

$$n^{-7/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} V_k^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-7/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} |U_k V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-7/2} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}^2 V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{5.33}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Here (5.32) and (5.33) follow by (A.6) and (A.7), thus we conclude (5.12).

Finally, we check condition (ii) of Theorem D.1, i.e., the conditional Lindeberg condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left(\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)}\|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)}\|>\theta\}} \,\middle|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{for all } \theta > 0 \text{ and } T > 0. \tag{5.34}$$

We have $\mathbb{E}\left(\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)}\|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)}\|>\theta\}} \,\middle|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right) \leqslant \theta^{-2}\, \mathbb{E}\left(\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)}\|^4 \,\middle|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right)$ and

$$\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)}\|^4 \leqslant 3\left(n^{-4} + n^{-8} U_{k-1}^4 + n^{-6} V_{k-1}^4\right) \|\boldsymbol{M}_{k-1}\|^4.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left(\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)}\|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)}\|>\theta\}}\right) \to 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty \text{ for all } \theta > 0 \text{ and } T > 0,$$

since $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{M}_k\|^4) = \mathrm{O}(k^2)$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{M}_k\|^4 U_{k-1}^4) \leqslant \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{M}_k\|^8)\, \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^8)} = \mathrm{O}(k^6)$ and $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{M}_k\|^4 V_{k-1}^4) \leqslant \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{M}_k\|^8)\, \mathbb{E}(V_{k-1}^8)} = \mathrm{O}(k^4)$ by Corollary A.6. This yields (5.34). □

We call the attention to the fact that our eighth order moment conditions $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^8) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^8) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^8) < \infty$ are used for applying Corollary A.6.

6 Proof of Theorem 4.2

The first convergence in Theorem 4.2 follows from Lemma B.5.

For the second convergence in Theorem 4.2, consider the sequence of stochastic processes

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t^{(n)} := \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t^{(n)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_t^{(n)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_t^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} := \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)} := \begin{bmatrix} n^{-1}\boldsymbol{M}_k \\ n^{-2}\boldsymbol{M}_k U_{k-1} \\ n^{-1}\boldsymbol{M}_k V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Theorem 4.2 follows from Lemma B.4 and the following theorem (this will be explained after Theorem 6.1).

6.1 Theorem. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 4.2 hold. If* $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$ *then*

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{6.1}$$

where the process $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *with values in* $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}^2)^3$ *is the unique strong solution of the SDE*

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t = \gamma(t, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t) \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t \\ \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \end{bmatrix}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{6.2}$$

with initial value $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$, *where* $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *and* $(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *are independent 2-dimensional standard Wiener processes, and* $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{R}^2)^3 \to (\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2})^{3\times 2}$ *is defined by*

$$\gamma(t, \boldsymbol{x}) := \begin{bmatrix} (\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{x}_1 + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^+)^{1/2} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ (\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{x}_1 + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^+)^{3/2} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda} (\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{x}_1 + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^+)^{1/2} & \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} (\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{x}_1 + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^+)^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} \otimes \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ *and* $\boldsymbol{x} = (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, x_3) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^3$.

As in the case of Theorem 4.1, the SDE (6.2) has a unique strong solution with initial value $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$, for which we have

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_t \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s^{1/2} \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_s \\ \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s \\ \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda} \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s^{1/2} \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_s + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s^{1/2} \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_s \end{bmatrix}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

One can again easily derive

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}^{(n)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{6.3}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t^{(n)} := n^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}, \qquad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_t := \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t + t\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Next, similarly to the proof of (B.5), by Lemma C.3, convergence (6.3) and Lemma B.4 imply

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n^{-3}U_{k-1}^2 \\ n^{-1}V_{k-1}^2 \\ n^{-2}\boldsymbol{M}_k U_{k-1} \\ n^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{M}_k V_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^1 \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_t\rangle^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \\ \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \\ \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_t \\ \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_1 + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^{1/2} \, \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}}_t \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

The limiting random vector can be written in the form as given in Theorem 4.2, since $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}_t\rangle = \mathcal{Y}_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1. The conditional variance has the form

$$\operatorname{Var}\bigl(\boldsymbol{Z}_k^{(n)} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\bigr) = \begin{bmatrix} n^{-2} & n^{-3}U_{k-1} & n^{-2}V_{k-1} \\ n^{-3}U_{k-1} & n^{-4}U_{k-1}^2 & n^{-3}U_{k-1}V_{k-1} \\ n^{-2}V_{k-1} & n^{-3}U_{k-1}V_{k-1} & n^{-2}V_{k-1}^2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, with $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} := \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{M}_k \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1})$, and $\gamma(s, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_s^{(n)})\gamma(s, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_s^{(n)})^\top$ has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle & \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2 & \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle \\ \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2 & \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^3 & \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2 \\ \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle & \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2 & M \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle \end{bmatrix} \otimes \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\xi}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In order to check condition (i) of Theorem D.1, we need to prove only that for each $T > 0$,

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-1}^2 \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - M \int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}s \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{6.4}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-1} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda} \int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}s \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{6.5}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda} \int_0^t \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_s^{(n)} + s\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2 \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}s \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{6.6}$$

as $n \to \infty$, since the rest, namely, (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9), have already been proved.

We turn to prove (6.5). First we show that

$$n^{-2} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-1} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1} \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{6.7}$$

as $n \to \infty$ for all $T > 0$. We use the decomposition (5.29). Using (A.9) with $(\ell, i, j) = (4, 0, 2)$ and $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 0, 1)$, we have

$$n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} V_k^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} |V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

hence (6.7) will follow from

$$n^{-2} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} V_{k-1} - \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1 - \lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \tag{6.8}$$

for all $T > 0$. The aim of the following discussion is to decompose $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} V_{k-1}$ as a sum of a martingale and some other terms. Using recursions (4.4), (4.10) and formula (A.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) &= \mathbb{E}\Big(\big(U_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle\big) \big(\lambda V_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k-1} \rangle\big) \,\Big|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \Big) \\ &= \lambda U_{k-2} V_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle U_{k-2} + \text{constant} + \text{constant} \times V_{k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} V_{k-1} &= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[U_{k-1} V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \big] + \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1} V_{k-1}^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[U_{k-1} V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \big] + \lambda \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2} V_{k-2} \\ &\quad + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2} + \mathrm{O}(n) + \text{constant} \times \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} V_{k-1} &= \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[U_{k-1} V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \big] + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1 - \lambda} \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2} \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} U_{\lfloor nt \rfloor - 1} V_{\lfloor nt \rfloor - 1} + \mathrm{O}(n) + \text{constant} \times \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (A.11) with $(\ell, i, j) = (4, 1, 1)$ we have

$$n^{-2} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[U_{k-1} V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \big] \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Thus, in order to show (6.8), it suffices to prove

$$n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} |V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-2} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} U_{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-2} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} U_{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{6.9}$$

as $n \to \infty$. By (A.9) with $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 0, 1)$, and by (A.10) with $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 1, 1)$ and $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 1, 0)$, we have (6.9). Thus we conclude (6.8), and hence (6.7). By Lemma A.1 and (A.9) with $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 1, 1)$ and $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 1, 0)$, we get

$$n^{-2} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{6.10}$$

as $n \to \infty$ for all $T > 0$. As a last step, using (5.7) and (6.7), we obtain (6.5).

Next we turn to prove (6.4). First we show that

$$n^{-2} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-1}^2 \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - M \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{6.11}$$

as $n \to \infty$ for all $T > 0$. We use the decomposition (5.23). Using (A.9) with $(\ell, i, j) = (6, 0, 3)$ and $(\ell, i, j) = (4, 0, 2)$, we have

$$n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} |V_k|^3 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} V_k^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

hence (6.11) will follow from

$$n^{-2} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} V_{k-1}^2 - M \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty \tag{6.12}$$

for all $T > 0$. The aim of the following discussion is to decompose $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} V_{k-1}^2$ as a sum of a martingale and some other terms. Using recursions (4.4), (4.10) and formula (A.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(U_{k-1} V_{k-1}^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) &= \mathbb{E}\Big(\big(U_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle\big) \big(\lambda V_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k-1} \rangle\big)^2 \,\Big|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \Big) \\
&= \lambda^2 U_{k-2} V_{k-2}^2 + 2\lambda \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle U_{k-2} V_{k-2} + \mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k-1} \rangle^2)\, U_{k-2} \\
&\quad + \text{constant} + \text{constant} \times V_{k-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 &= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] + \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] + \lambda^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2}V_{k-2}^2 + 2\lambda \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2}V_{k-2} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k-1}\rangle^2) \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2} + \mathrm{O}(n) + \text{constant} \times \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] + \frac{2\lambda \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2}V_{k-2} \\
&\quad + \frac{\mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k-1}\rangle^2)}{1-\lambda^2} \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{1-\lambda^2} U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1}V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1}^2 + \mathrm{O}(n) + \text{constant} \times \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.11) with $(\ell, i, j) = (8, 1, 2)$ we have

$$n^{-2} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

By (A.9) with $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 0, 1)$, and by (A.10) with $(\ell, i, j) = (4, 1, 2)$, we obtain

$$n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} |V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-2} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{6.13}$$

as $n \to \infty$, hence

$$n^{-2} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1}^2 - \frac{2\lambda \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2}V_{k-2} - \frac{\mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k-1}\rangle^2)}{1-\lambda^2} \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2} \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ for all $T > 0$. Thus, taking into account (6.8), we conclude (6.12), and hence (6.11), since

$$\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda} \frac{2\lambda \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda^2} + \frac{\mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k-1}\rangle^2)}{1-\lambda^2} = \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle}{1-\lambda^2}.$$

As a last step, using (6.10) and (5.7), we obtain (6.4).

Finally we turn to prove (6.6). First we show that

$$n^{-3} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{6.14}$$

as $n \to \infty$ for all $T > 0$. We use the decomposition (5.30). Using (A.9) with $(\ell, i, j) = (4, 1, 2)$ and $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 1, 1)$, we have

$$n^{-3} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} U_k V_k^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-3} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} U_k |V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

hence (6.14) will follow from

$$n^{-3} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} - \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty \tag{6.15}$$

for all $T > 0$. The aim of the following discussion is to decompose $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1}$ as a sum of a martingale and some other terms. Using recursions (4.4), (4.10) and formula (A.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) &= \mathbb{E}\Big((U_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle)^2 \big(\lambda V_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k-1} \rangle \big) \,\Big|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \Big) \\
&= \lambda U_{k-2}^2 V_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle U_{k-2}^2 + \text{constant} \\
&\quad + \text{linear combinations of } U_{k-2} V_{k-2}, \ U_{k-2} \text{ and } V_{k-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} &= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \big] + \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \big] + \lambda \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2}^2 V_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2}^2 \\
&\quad + \mathrm{O}(n) + \text{linear combinations of } \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2} V_{k-2}, \ \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2} \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \big] \\
&\quad + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda} \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} U_{\lfloor nt \rfloor - 1}^2 V_{\lfloor nt \rfloor - 1} \\
&\quad + \mathrm{O}(n) + \text{linear combinations of } \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2} V_{k-2}, \ \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-2} \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.11) with $(\ell, i, j) = (8, 2, 1)$ we have

$$n^{-3} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}^2 V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \big] \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus, in order to show (6.15), it suffices to prove

$$n^{-3}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} U_k|V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-3}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} U_k \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{6.16}$$

$$n^{-3}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} |V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-3}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}^2|V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{6.17}$$

as $n\to\infty$. By (A.9) with $(\ell,i,j)=(4,1,1)$ and $(\ell,i,j)=(4,1,0)$ we obtain (6.16). By (A.9) with $(\ell,i,j)=(4,0,1)$ and (A.10) with $(\ell,i,j)=(4,2,1)$, we obtain (6.17). Thus we conclude (6.15), and hence (6.14). By Lemma A.1 and (A.9) with $(\ell,i,j)=(4,2,1)$ and $(\ell,i,j)=(4,2,0)$, we get

$$n^{-3}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{M}_k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}^2\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{6.18}$$

as $n\to\infty$ for all $T>0$. As a last step, using (6.14) and (5.8), we obtain (6.6).

Condition (ii) of Theorem D.1 can be checked again as in case of Theorem 5.1. □

7 Proof of Theorem 3.8

By Quine and Durham [22], the Markov chain $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ admits a unique stationary distribution, and we have

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} f(\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1},\boldsymbol{X}_k) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbb{E}\Bigg(f\Bigg(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}, \sum_{j=1}^{\widetilde{X}_1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,j,1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\widetilde{X}_2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,j,2} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\Bigg)\Bigg), \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty, \tag{7.1}$$

for all Borel measurable functions $f:\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$ with

$$\mathbb{E}\Bigg(\Bigg|f\Bigg(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}, \sum_{j=1}^{\widetilde{X}_1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,j,1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\widetilde{X}_2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,j,2} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\Bigg)\Bigg|\Bigg) < \infty,$$

see (2.1) in Quine and Durham [22]. By Quine [21], we have $\mathbb{E}(\|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\|^2)<\infty$, hence

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^\top \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbb{E}\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\big), \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty. \tag{7.2}$$

The aim of the following discussion is to show that the matrix $\mathbb{E}\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\big)$ is invertible. By Quine [21], we have

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\big) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^i\big(\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_1)\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1} + \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_2)\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\big)(\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\top)^i + \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}})\,\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top).$$

If $\mathbb{E}\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\big)$ is not invertible, then there exists $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$ with $\boldsymbol{w}^\top \mathbb{E}\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\big)\boldsymbol{w} = 0$. But then $\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_1)\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1}\boldsymbol{w} = 0$, $\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_2)\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2}\boldsymbol{w} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{w} = 0$. We have $\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_1) > 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_2) > 0$, since, by Quine [21], we have $\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^i \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$, where $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$ and there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the entries of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^i$ are positive. Consequently, we obtain $\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1}\boldsymbol{w} = 0$, $\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2}\boldsymbol{w} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{w} = 0$, which is impossible, since at least one of the matrices $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1}$, $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2}$, $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ is invertible, hence $\mathbb{E}\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\big)$ has to be invertible, and we conclude

$$(7.3) \qquad \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^\top\right)^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \big[\mathbb{E}\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\big)\big]^{-1}, \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Applying again (7.1) and using the decomposition (4.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n (\boldsymbol{X}_k - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^\top \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\widetilde{X}_1}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,j,1} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,j,1})) + \sum_{j=1}^{\widetilde{X}_2}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,j,2} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,j,2})) + (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1))\right)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\right) \\
&\qquad = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\widetilde{X}_1}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,j,1} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,j,1})) + \sum_{j=1}^{\widetilde{X}_2}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,j,2} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,j,2})) + (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1)) \,\middle|\, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\right)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\right] = \boldsymbol{0}
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Consequently,

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n (\boldsymbol{X}_k - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^\top\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^\top\right)^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \boldsymbol{0}$$

as $n \to \infty$, hence we obtain the strong consistency of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)}$. By the continuity of the function r, this implies the strong consistency of $\widehat{\varrho}_n = r(\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)})$.

The asymptotic normality (3.8) can be proved by the martingale central limit theorem. We can write

$$n^{1/2}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) = \left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\sum_{k=1}^n \boldsymbol{Z}_k\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^\top\right)^{-1}$$

with $\boldsymbol{Z}_k := \boldsymbol{M}_k\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^\top$. We have $\mathbb{E}\big(\boldsymbol{Z}_k^{\otimes 2} \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\big) = \mathbb{E}\big(\boldsymbol{M}_k^{\otimes 2} \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\big)(\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^\top)^{\otimes 2}$. By the decomposition (4.9),

$$(7.4) \quad \mathbb{E}\big(\boldsymbol{M}_k^{\otimes 2} \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\big) = \sum_{i=1}^2 X_{k-1,i}\,\mathbb{E}\big[(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i}))^{\otimes 2}\big] + \mathbb{E}\big[(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1))^{\otimes 2}\big], \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

thus by (7.1), the asymptotic covariances have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}\big(\boldsymbol{Z}_k^{\otimes 2} \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\big) &\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathbb{E}\big[(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i}))^{\otimes 2}\big]\,\mathbb{E}\left[\widetilde{X}_i\Big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\Big)^{\otimes 2}\right] \\
&\qquad + \mathbb{E}\big[(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1))^{\otimes 2}\big]\,\mathbb{E}\left[\Big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\Big)^{\otimes 2}\right] \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

The aim of the following discussion is to check the conditional Lindeberg condition

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k\|^2\mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k\|>\theta\sqrt{n}\}}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-1})\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0\qquad\text{as}\ \ n\to\infty\ \ \text{for all}\ \ \theta>0. \tag{7.5}$$

By the decomposition (4.9),

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\boldsymbol{M}_k^{\otimes 4}\,\big|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-1}\big)=\boldsymbol{P}(X_{k-1,1},X_{k-1,2})$$

with $\boldsymbol{P}=(P_1,\ldots,P_{16}):\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^{16}$, where $P_1,\ldots,P_{16}$ are polynomials having degree at most 2, and their coefficients depend on the moments $\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}-\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1})^{\otimes 4}]$, $\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}-\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2})^{\otimes 4}]$, $\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1-\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1)^{\otimes 4}]$, $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1}$, $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2}$ and $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k\|^2\mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k\|>\theta\sqrt{n}\}}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-1})\leqslant\frac{1}{n^2\theta^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{Z}_k\|^4\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-1})\\&\leqslant\frac{1}{n^2\theta^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\|\boldsymbol{X}_k\|^4\,\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{M}_k\|^4\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-1})=\frac{1}{n^2\theta^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\|\boldsymbol{X}_k\|^4\boldsymbol{P}(X_{k-1,1},X_{k-1,2})\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0\end{aligned}$$

as $n\to\infty$ for all $\theta>0$, since, by Lemma A.9, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{X}_k\|^4|\boldsymbol{P}(X_{k-1,1},X_{k-1,2})|)=\mathrm{O}(1)$, hence $n^{-2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{X}_k\|^4|\boldsymbol{P}(X_{k-1,1},X_{k-1,2})|)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$. Consequently, by the martingale central limit theorem, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\boldsymbol{Z}_k\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{Z}}\qquad\text{as}\ \ n\to\infty,$$

where $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ is a 2×2 random matrix having a normal distribution with zero mean and with

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{Z}}^{\otimes 2}\Big)=\sum_{i=1}^{2}\mathbb{E}\big[(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i}-\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,i}))^{\otimes 2}\big]\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\widetilde{X}_i\Big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\top}\Big)^{\otimes 2}\Big]+\mathbb{E}\big[(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1-\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1))^{\otimes 2}\big]\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\top}\Big)^{\otimes 2}\Big].$$

Using (7.3) and applying Slutsky's lemma, we obtain (3.8).

The convergence (3.12) follows from (3.8) by the so called Delta Method with the function r, see, e.g., Lehmann and Romano [18, Theorem 11.2.14].

Appendices

A Estimations of moments

In the proof of Theorem 3.1, good bounds for moments of the random vectors and variables $(\boldsymbol{M}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, $(U_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ and $(V_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ are extensively used. First note that, for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{M}_k\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-1})=\boldsymbol{0}$ and $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{M}_k)=\boldsymbol{0}$, since $\boldsymbol{M}_k=\boldsymbol{X}_k-\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-1})$.

A.1 Lemma. *Let* $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *be a 2-type Galton–Watson process with immigration and with* $\boldsymbol{X}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$. *If* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^2) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^2) < \infty$ *and* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^2) < \infty$ *then*

$$\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{M}_k \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) = X_{k-1,1}\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1} + X_{k-1,2}\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = U_{k-1}\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + V_{k-1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \tag{A.1}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, *where*

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} := \sum_{i=1}^{2} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{right}}\rangle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i} = \frac{\beta \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1} - (1-\delta)\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2}}{\beta + 1 - \delta}.$$

If $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^3) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^3) < \infty$ *and* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^3) < \infty$ *then, for all* $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{M}_k^{\otimes 3} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) &= X_{k-1,1}\,\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1})^{\otimes 3}] \\ &\qquad + X_{k-1,2}\,\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2})^{\otimes 3}] + \mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1)^{\otimes 3}].\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Proof. Using the decomposition (4.9), where, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the random vectors $\big\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1}),\, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j.2} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2}),\, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k) : j \in \mathbb{N}\big\}$ are independent of each other, independent of $\mathcal{F}_{k-1}$, and have zero mean vector, we conclude (A.1) and (A.2). □

A.2 Lemma. *Let* $(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be independent and identically distributed random vectors with values in* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *such that* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1\|^\ell) < \infty$ *with some* $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) *Then there exists* $\boldsymbol{Q} = (Q_1, \dots, Q_{d^\ell}) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{d^\ell}$, *where* $Q_1, \dots, Q_{d^\ell}$ *are polynomials having degree at most* $\ell - 1$ *such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\big((\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1 + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_N)^{\otimes \ell}\big) = N^\ell \big[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1)\big]^{\otimes \ell} + \boldsymbol{Q}(N), \qquad N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad N \geqslant \ell.$$

(ii) *If* $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1) = \boldsymbol{0}$ *then there exists* $\boldsymbol{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_{d^\ell}) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{d^\ell}$, *where* $R_1, \dots, R_{d^\ell}$ *are polynomials having degree at most* $\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$ *such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\big((\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1 + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_N)^{\otimes \ell}\big) = \boldsymbol{R}(N), \qquad N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad N \geqslant \ell.$$

The coefficients of the polynomials $\boldsymbol{Q}$ *and* $\boldsymbol{R}$ *depend on the moments* $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_\ell})$, $i_1, \dots, i_\ell \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Proof. (i) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\big((\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1 + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_N)^{\otimes \ell}\big) = \sum_{\substack{s\in\{1,\dots,\ell\},\, k_1,\dots,k_s\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\\ k_1+2k_2+\cdots+sk_s=\ell,\, k_s\neq 0}} &\binom{N}{k_1}\binom{N-k_1}{k_2}\cdots\binom{N-k_1-\cdots-k_{s-1}}{k_s} \\ &\times \sum_{(i_1,\dots,i_\ell)\in P_{k_1,\dots,k_s}^{(N,\ell)}} \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_\ell}),\end{aligned}$$

where the set $P^{(N,\ell)}_{k_1,\dots,k_s}$ consists of permutations of all the multisets containing pairwise different elements $j_{k_1},\dots,j_{k_s}$ of the set $\{1,\dots,N\}$ with multiplicities $k_1,\dots,k_s$, respectively. Since

$$\binom{N}{k_1}\binom{N-k_1}{k_2}\cdots\binom{N-k_1-\cdots-k_{s-1}}{k_s} = \frac{N(N-1)\cdots(N-k_1-k_2-\cdots-k_s+1)}{k_1!k_2!\cdots k_s!}$$

is a polynomial of the variable N having degree $k_1+\cdots+k_s \leqslant \ell$, there exists $\boldsymbol{P} = (P_1,\dots,P_{d^\ell}) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{d^\ell}$, where P_1, ..., P_{d^ℓ} are polynomials having degree at most ℓ such that $\mathbb{E}\big((\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1+\cdots+\boldsymbol{\zeta}_N)^{\otimes\ell}\big) = \boldsymbol{P}(N)$. A term of degree ℓ can occur only in case $k_1+\cdots+k_s = \ell$, when $k_1+2k_2+\cdots+sk_s = \ell$ implies $s = 1$ and $k_1 = \ell$, thus the corresponding term of degree ℓ is $N(N-1)\cdots(N-\ell+1)\big[\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1)\big]^{\otimes\ell}$, hence we obtain the statement.

(ii) Using the same decomposition, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\big((\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1+\cdots+\boldsymbol{\zeta}_N)^{\otimes\ell}\big) = \sum_{\substack{s\in\{2,\dots,\ell\},\, k_2,\dots,k_s\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\\ 2k_2+3k_3+\cdots+sk_s=\ell,\, k_s\neq0}} \binom{N}{k_2}\binom{N-k_2}{k_3}\cdots\binom{N-k_2-\cdots-k_{s-1}}{k_s}$$

$$\times \sum_{(i_1,\dots,i_\ell)\in P^{(N,\ell)}_{0,k_2,\dots,k_s}} \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_\ell}).$$

Here

$$\binom{N}{k_2}\binom{N-k_2}{k_3}\cdots\binom{N-k_2-\cdots-k_{s-1}}{k_s} = \frac{N(N-1)\cdots(N-k_2-k_3-\cdots-k_s+1)}{k_2!k_3!\cdots k_s!}$$

is a polynomial of the variable N having degree $k_2+\cdots+k_s$. Since

$$\ell = 2k_2+3k_3+\cdots+sk_s \geqslant 2(k_2+k_3+\cdots+k_s),$$

we have $k_2+\cdots+k_s \leqslant \ell/2$ yielding part (ii). $\square$

A.3 Remark. In what follows, using the proof of Lemma A.4, we give a bit more explicit form of the polynomial R_ℓ in part (ii) of Lemma A.4 for the special cases $\ell = 1,2,3,4,5,6$.

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1+\cdots+\boldsymbol{\zeta}_N) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

$$\mathbb{E}((\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1+\cdots+\boldsymbol{\zeta}_N)^{\otimes2}) = N\,\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1^{\otimes2}).$$

$$\mathbb{E}((\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1+\cdots+\boldsymbol{\zeta}_N)^{\otimes3}) = N\,\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1^{\otimes3}).$$

$$\mathbb{E}((\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1+\cdots+\boldsymbol{\zeta}_N)^{\otimes4}) = N\,\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1^{\otimes4}) + \frac{N(N-1)}{2!}\sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4)\in P^{(N,4)}_{0,2}} \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_2}\otimes\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_3}\otimes\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_4}).$$

$$\mathbb{E}((\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1+\cdots+\boldsymbol{\zeta}_N)^{\otimes5}) = N\,\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1^{\otimes5}) + N(N-1)\sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4,i_5)\in P^{(N,5)}_{0,1,1}} \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_2}\otimes\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_3}\otimes\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_4}\otimes\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_5}).$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}((\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1 + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_N)^{\otimes 6}) \\
&\qquad = N\,\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1^{\otimes 6}) + N(N-1) \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4,i_5,i_6)\in P_{0,1,0,1}^{(N,6)}} \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_3} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_4} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_5} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_6}) \\
&\qquad\quad + \frac{N(N-1)}{2!} \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4,i_5,i_6)\in P_{0,0,2}^{(N,6)}} \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_3} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_4} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_5} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_6}) \\
&\qquad\quad + \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{3!} \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4,i_5,i_6)\in P_{0,3}^{(N,6)}} \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_3} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_4} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_5} \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i_6}).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Lemma A.2 can be generalized in the following way.

A.4 Lemma. *For each* $i \in \mathbb{N}$, *let* $(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i,k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be independent and identically distributed random vectors with values in* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *such that* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i,1}\|^\ell) < \infty$ *with some* $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. *Let* $j_1, \ldots, j_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) *Then there exists* $\boldsymbol{Q} = (Q_1, \ldots, Q_{d^\ell}) : \mathbb{R}^\ell \to \mathbb{R}^{d^\ell}$, *where* $Q_1, \ldots, Q_{d^\ell}$ *are polynomials of* ℓ *variables having degree at most* $\ell - 1$ *such that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\big((\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_1,1} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_1,N_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_\ell,1} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_\ell,N_\ell})\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad = N_1 \ldots N_\ell\, \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_1,1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_\ell,1}) + \boldsymbol{Q}(N_1, \ldots, N_\ell)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $N_1, \ldots, N_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $N_1 \geqslant \ell, \ldots, N_\ell \geqslant \ell$.

(ii) *If* $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_1,1}) = \ldots = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_\ell,1}) = \boldsymbol{0}$ *then there exists* $\boldsymbol{R} = (R_1, \ldots, R_{d^\ell}) : \mathbb{R}^\ell \to \mathbb{R}^{d^\ell}$, *where* $R_1, \ldots, R_{d^\ell}$ *are polynomials of* ℓ *variables having degree at most* $\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$ *such that*

$$
\mathbb{E}\big((\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_1,1} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_1,N_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_\ell,1} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_\ell,N_\ell})\big) = \boldsymbol{R}(N_1, \ldots, N_\ell)
$$

for $N_1, \ldots, N_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $N_1 \geqslant \ell, \ldots, N_\ell \geqslant \ell$.

The coefficients of the polynomials $\boldsymbol{Q}$ *and* $\boldsymbol{R}$ *depend on the moments* $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_1,i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j_\ell,i_\ell})$, $i_1 \in \{1, \ldots, N_1\}, \ldots, i_\ell \in \{1, \ldots, N_\ell\}$.

A.5 Lemma. *Let* $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *be a 2-type Galton–Watson process with immigration such that* $\alpha, \gamma \in [0,1)$ *and* $\beta, \gamma \in (0,\infty)$ *with* $\alpha + \delta > 0$ *and* $\beta\gamma = (1-\alpha)(1-\gamma)$ *(hence it is critical and positively regular). Suppose* $\boldsymbol{X}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$, *and* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^\ell) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^\ell) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^\ell) < \infty$ *with some* $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{X}_k\|^\ell) = \mathrm{O}(k^\ell)$, *i.e.,* $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} k^{-\ell}\,\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{X}_k\|^\ell) < \infty$.

Proof. The statement is clearly equivalent with $\mathbb{E}\big(|P(X_{k,1}, X_{k,2})|\big) \leqslant c_{P,\ell}\, k^\ell$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for all polynomials P of two variables having degree at most ℓ, where $c_{P,\ell}$ depends only on P and ℓ.

If $\ell = 1$ then (2.3) and (2.6) imply

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^j \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \left(\frac{k}{2-\alpha-\delta} \begin{bmatrix} 1-\delta & \beta \\ \gamma & 1-\alpha \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1-(\alpha+\delta-1)^k}{(2-\alpha-\delta)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1-\alpha & -\beta \\ -\gamma & 1-\delta \end{bmatrix} \right) \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which yields the statement.

By (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{X}_k^{\otimes 2} &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,1}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} \right)^{\otimes 2} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,2}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2} \right)^{\otimes 2} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k^{\otimes 2} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,1}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,2}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,2}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2} \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,1}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,1}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} \right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,1}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,2}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} \right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1,2}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Since for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the random variables $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are independent of each other and of the σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}_{k-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k^{\otimes 2} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right) \Bigg|_{M=X_{k-1,1}} + \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right) \Bigg|_{N=X_{k-1,2}} + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k^{\otimes 2}) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} \right) \otimes \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2} \right) \Bigg|_{\substack{M=X_{k-1,1} \\ N=X_{k-1,2}}} + \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2} \right) \otimes \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} \right) \Bigg|_{\substack{M=X_{k-1,1} \\ N=X_{k-1,2}}} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} \right) \Bigg|_{M=X_{k-1,1}} \otimes \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k) + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_n) \otimes \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} \right) \Bigg|_{M=X_{k-1,1}} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2} \right) \Bigg|_{N=X_{k-1,2}} \otimes \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k) + \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k) \otimes \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2} \right) \Bigg|_{N=X_{k-1,2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using part (i) of Lemma A.4 and separating the terms having degree 2 and less than 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k^{\otimes 2} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \\ &= X_{k-1,1}^2 \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1}^{\otimes 2} + X_{k-1,2}^2 \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2}^{\otimes 2} + X_{k-1,1} X_{k-1,2} (\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1} \otimes \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2} + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2} \otimes \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1}) + \boldsymbol{Q}_2(X_{k-1,1}, X_{k-1,2}) \\ &= (X_{k-1,1} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1} + X_{k-1,2} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2})^{\otimes 2} + \boldsymbol{Q}_2(X_{k-1,1}, X_{k-1,2}) = (\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1})^{\otimes 2} + \boldsymbol{Q}_2(X_{k-1,1}, X_{k-1,2}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{\otimes 2} \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^{\otimes 2} + \boldsymbol{Q}_2(X_{k-1,1}, X_{k-1,2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{Q}_2 = (Q_{2,1}, Q_{2,2}, Q_{2,3}, Q_{2,4}) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^4$, and $Q_{2,1}$, $Q_{2,2}$, $Q_{2,3}$ and $Q_{2,4}$ are polynomials of two variables having degree at most 1. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k^{\otimes 2}) = \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{\otimes 2}\, \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^{\otimes 2}) + \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{Q}_2(X_{k-1,1}, X_{k-1,2})].$$

In a similar way,

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k^{\otimes \ell}) = \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{\otimes \ell}\, \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}^{\otimes \ell}) + \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{Q}_\ell(X_{k-1,1}, X_{k-1,2})],$$

where $\boldsymbol{Q}_\ell = (Q_{\ell,1}, \ldots, Q_{\ell,2^\ell}) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{2^\ell}$, and $Q_{\ell,1}, \ldots, Q_{\ell,2^\ell}$ are polynomials of two variables having degree at most $\ell - 1$, implying

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k^{\otimes \ell}) &= \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{\otimes \ell})^{k-j}\, \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{Q}_\ell(X_{j-1,1}, X_{j-1,2})] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{k-j})^{\otimes \ell}\, \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{Q}_\ell(X_{j-1,1}, X_{j-1,2})].
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Let us suppose now that the statement holds for $1, \ldots, \ell - 1$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[|Q_{\ell,i}(X_{j-1,1}, X_{j-1,2})|] \leqslant c_{Q_{\ell,i},\ell-1} k^{\ell-1}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, 2^\ell\}.$$

Formula (2.6) clearly implies $\|(\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^i)^{\otimes \ell}\| = \mathrm{O}(1)$, i.e., $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \ell \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^i)^{\otimes \ell}\| < \infty$, hence we obtain the assertion for ℓ. □

A.6 Corollary. *Let* $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ *be a 2-type Galton–Watson process with immigration such that* $\alpha, \delta \in [0, 1)$ *and* $\beta, \gamma \in (0, \infty)$ *with* $\alpha + \delta > 0$ *and* $\beta\gamma = (1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma)$ *(hence it is critical and positively regular). Suppose* $\boldsymbol{X}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$, *and* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^\ell) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^\ell) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^\ell) < \infty$ *with some* $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then*

$$\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{X}_k\|^i) = \mathrm{O}(k^i), \qquad \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{M}_k^{\otimes i}) = \mathrm{O}(k^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor}), \qquad \mathbb{E}(U_k^i) = \mathrm{O}(k^i), \qquad \mathbb{E}(V_k^{2j}) = \mathrm{O}(k^j)$$

for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *with* $i \leqslant \ell$ *and* $2j \leqslant \ell$.

If, in addition, $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$, *then*

$$\mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k \rangle^i) = \mathrm{O}(1), \qquad \mathbb{E}(V_k^{2j}) = \mathrm{O}(1)$$

for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *with* $i \leqslant \ell$ *and* $2j \leqslant \ell$.

Proof. The first statement is just Lemma A.5. Next we turn to prove $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{M}_k^{\otimes i}) = \mathrm{O}(k^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor})$. Using (4.9), part (ii) of Lemma A.4, and that the random vectors $\big\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,1}),\, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j.2} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,j,2}),\, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k) : j \in \mathbb{N}\big\}$ are independent of each other, independent of $\mathcal{F}_{k-1}$, and have zero mean vector, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{M}_k^{\otimes i} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) = \boldsymbol{R}(X_{k-1,1}, X_{k-1,2}),$$

with $\boldsymbol{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_{2^i}) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{2^i}$, where $R_1, \dots, R_{2^i}$ are polynomials of two variables having degree at most $i/2$. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{M}_k^{\otimes i}) = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{R}(X_{k-1,1}, X_{k-1,2})).$$

By Lemma A.5, we conclude $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{M}_k^{\otimes i}) = \mathrm{O}(k^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor})$.

Lemma A.5 implies $\mathbb{E}(U_k^i) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{(1-\delta)X_{k,1}+\beta X_{k,2}}{(2-\alpha-\delta)\beta}\right)^i\right] = \mathrm{O}(k^i)$.

Next, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $2j \leqslant \ell$, we prove $\mathbb{E}(V_k^{2j}) = \mathrm{O}(k^j)$ using induction in k. By the recursion $V_k = (\alpha+\delta-1)V_{k-1} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mathbb{E}(V_k) = (\alpha+\delta-1)\,\mathbb{E}(V_{k-1}) + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with initial value $\mathbb{E}(V_0) = 0$, hence

$$\mathbb{E}(V_k) = \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\alpha+\delta-1)^i, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

which yields $|\,\mathbb{E}(V_k)| = \mathrm{O}(1)$. Indeed, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\alpha+\delta-1)^i \right| \leqslant \frac{1}{1 - |\alpha+\delta-1|}.$$

The rest of the proof of $\mathbb{E}(V_k^{2j}) = \mathrm{O}(k^j)$ can be carried out as in Corollary 9.1 of Barczy et al. [5].

By (4.9) and Remark 3.4, $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$ implies

$$\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k) \rangle, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

implying $\mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k \rangle^i) = \mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1) \rangle^i) = \mathrm{O}(1)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $i \leqslant \ell$.

Finally, by (4.2), we obtain

$$\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{X}_k \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k) \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\top \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{X}_{k-1} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k \rangle$$

Using $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\top \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} = (\alpha+\delta-1)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}$, we conclude

$$V_k = (\alpha+\delta-1)V_{k-1} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k \rangle, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{A.5}$$

Thus we get a recursion $\widetilde{V}_k = (\alpha+\delta-1)\widetilde{V}_{k-1} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k \rangle$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for the sequence $\widetilde{V}_k := V_k - \mathbb{E}(V_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and rest of the proof of $\mathbb{E}(V_k^{2j}) = \mathrm{O}(1)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $2j \leqslant \ell$ can be carried out again by the method Corollary 9.1 of Barczy et al. [5], applying $\mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k \rangle^i) = \mathrm{O}(1)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $i \leqslant \ell$. □

The next corollary can be derived exactly as Corollary 9.2 of Barczy et al. [5].

A.7 Corollary. *Let $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a 2-type Galton–Watson process with immigration with offspring means $(\alpha, \delta) \in (0,1)^2$ and $\beta\gamma = (1-\alpha)(1-\gamma)$ (hence it is critical and positively regular). Suppose $\boldsymbol{X}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$, and $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^\ell) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^\ell) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^\ell) < \infty$ with some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

(i) *for all* $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *with* $\max\{i, j\} \leqslant \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$, *and for all* $\kappa > i + \frac{j}{2} + 1$, *we have*

$$n^{-\kappa} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |U_k^i V_k^j| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{A.6}$$

(ii) *for all* $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *with* $\max\{i, j\} \leqslant \ell$, *for all* $T > 0$, *and for all* $\kappa > i + \frac{j}{2} + \frac{i+j}{\ell}$, *we have*

$$n^{-\kappa} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |U_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}^i V_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}^j| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{A.7}$$

(iii) *for all* $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *with* $\max\{i, j\} \leqslant \lfloor \ell/4 \rfloor$, *for all* $T > 0$, *and for all* $\kappa > i + \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$, *we have*

$$n^{-\kappa} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} [U_k^i V_k^j - \mathbb{E}(U_k^i V_k^j \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})] \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{A.8}$$

If, in addition, $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$, *then*

(iv) *for all* $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *with* $\max\{i, j\} \leqslant \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$, *and for all* $\kappa > i + 1$, *we have*

$$n^{-\kappa} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |U_k^i V_k^j| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{A.9}$$

(v) *for all* $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *with* $\max\{i, j\} \leqslant \ell$, *for all* $T > 0$, *and for all* $\kappa > i + \frac{i+j}{\ell}$, *we have*

$$n^{-\kappa} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |U_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}^i V_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}^j| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{A.10}$$

(vi) *for all* $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *with* $\max\{i, j\} \leqslant \lfloor \ell/4 \rfloor$, *for all* $T > 0$, *and for all* $\kappa > i + \frac{1}{2}$, *we have*

$$n^{-\kappa} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} [U_k^i V_k^j - \mathbb{E}(U_k^i V_k^j \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})] \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{A.11}$$

(vii) *for all* $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *with* $j \leqslant \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$, *for all* $T > 0$, *and for all* $\kappa > \frac{1}{2}$, *we have*

$$n^{-\kappa} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} [V_k^j - \mathbb{E}(V_k^j \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})] \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{A.12}$$

A.8 Remark. In the special case $\ell = 2$, $i = 1$, $j = 0$, one can improve (A.7), namely, one can show

$$n^{-\kappa} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} U_{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty \text{ for } \kappa > 1, \tag{A.13}$$

see Barczy et al. [5].

A.9 Lemma. *Let* $(\boldsymbol{X}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *be a 2-type Galton–Watson process with immigration such that* $\alpha,\gamma\in[0,1)$ *and* $\beta,\gamma\in(0,\infty)$ *with* $\alpha+\delta>0$ *and* $\beta\gamma<(1-\alpha)(1-\gamma)$ *(hence it is subcritical and positively regular). Suppose* $\boldsymbol{X}_0=\boldsymbol{0}$*, and* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,1}\|^\ell)<\infty$*,* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1,1,2}\|^\ell)<\infty$*,* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|^\ell)<\infty$ *with some* $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$*. Then* $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{X}_k\|^\ell)=\mathrm{O}(1)$*, i.e.,* $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{X}_k\|^\ell)<\infty$*.*

Proof. The statement is clearly equivalent with $\mathbb{E}\big(|P(X_{k,1},X_{k,2})|\big)\leqslant c_{P,\ell}$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$, for all polynomials P of two variables having degree at most ℓ, where $c_{P,\ell}$ depends only on P and ℓ.

By (2.3) and (2.6),

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k)=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^j\,\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\lambda_+^j\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{right}}\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\lambda_-^j\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{right}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$$

for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$, which, by $|\lambda_-|\leqslant\lambda_+<1$, yields $\|\,\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k)\|=\mathrm{O}(1)$, and hence $\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{X}_k\|)=\mathrm{O}(1)$.

Let us suppose now that the statement holds for $1,\dots,\ell-1$. By (A.4),

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k^{\otimes\ell})=\sum_{j=1}^{k}(\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{k-j})^{\otimes\ell}\,\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{Q}_\ell(X_{j-1,1},X_{j-1,2})],$$

where $\boldsymbol{Q}_\ell=(Q_{\ell,1},\dots,Q_{\ell,2^\ell}):\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^{2^\ell}$, and $Q_{\ell,1}$, …, $Q_{\ell,2^\ell}$ are polynomials of two variables having degree at most $\ell-1$. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\mathbb{E}[|Q_{\ell,i}(X_{j-1,1},X_{j-1,2})|]\leqslant c_{Q_{\ell,i},\ell-1},\qquad k\in\mathbb{N},\quad i\in\{1,\dots,2^\ell\}.$$

Formula (2.6) clearly implies $\|(\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^i)^{\otimes\ell}\|=\mathrm{O}(\lambda_+^{i\ell})$, i.e., $\sup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\,\ell\in\mathbb{N}}\lambda_+^{-i\ell}\|(\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^i)^{\otimes\ell}\|<\infty$, hence, by $0<\lambda_+<1$, we obtain the assertion for ℓ. □

B CLS estimators

For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, a CLS estimator $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)}$ of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ based on a sample $\boldsymbol{X}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{X}_n$ can be obtained by minimizing the sum of squares

$$\sum_{k=1}^n\big\|\boldsymbol{X}_k-\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}_k\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-1})\big\|^2=\sum_{k=1}^n\|\boldsymbol{X}_k-\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{X}_{k-1}-\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\|^2$$

with respect to $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ over $\mathbb{R}^{2\times2}$. In what follows, we use the notation $\boldsymbol{x}_0:=\boldsymbol{0}$. For all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we define the function $Q_n:(\mathbb{R}^2)^n\times\mathbb{R}^{2\times2}\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$Q_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{x}_n;\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}'):=\sum_{k=1}^n\big\|\boldsymbol{x}_k-\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}'\boldsymbol{x}_{k-1}-\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\big\|^2$$

for all $\boldsymbol{m}'_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$. By definition, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a CLS estimator of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ is a measurable function $F_n : (\mathbb{R}^2)^n \to \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ such that

$$Q_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n; F_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n)) = \inf_{\boldsymbol{m}'_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}} Q_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n; \boldsymbol{m}'_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})$$

for all $\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Next we give the solutions of this extremum problem.

B.1 Lemma. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any CLS estimator of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ is a measurable function $F_n : (\mathbb{R}^2)^n \to \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ for which*

$$F_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n) = H_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n) G_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n)^{-1} \tag{B.1}$$

on the set

$$\big\{(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^n : \det(G_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n)) > 0\big\},$$

where

$$G_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1}^\top, \qquad H_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}) \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1}^\top.$$

Proof of Lemma B.1. In the proof we write

$$\boldsymbol{m}'_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha' & \beta' \\ \gamma' & \delta' \end{bmatrix}, \qquad F_n = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1,1}^{(n)} & f_{1,2}^{(n)} \\ f_{2,1}^{(n)} & f_{2,2}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad h_{n,i} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} (x_{k,i} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},i}) x_{k-1,1} \\ (x_{k,i} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},i}) x_{k-1,2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad i \in \{1, 2\},$$

$G_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_n) = G_n$, $H_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_n) = H_n$, and $Q_n(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_n; \boldsymbol{m}'_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) = Q_n$. The quadratic function Q_n can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n (x_{k,1} - \alpha' x_{k-1,1} - \beta' x_{k-1,2} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},1})^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (x_{k,2} - \gamma' x_{k-1,1} - \delta' x_{k-1,2} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},2})^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} x_{k-1,1}^2 & x_{k-1,1}x_{k-1,2} \\ x_{k-1,1}x_{k-1,2} & x_{k-1,2}^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} (x_{k,1} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},1})x_{k-1,1} \\ (x_{k,1} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},1})x_{k-1,2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} (x_{k,1} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},1})x_{k-1,1} \\ (x_{k,1} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},1})x_{k-1,2} \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^n (x_{k,1} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},1})^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} x_{k-1,1}^2 & x_{k-1,1}x_{k-1,2} \\ x_{k-1,1}x_{k-1,2} & x_{k-1,2}^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} (x_{k,2} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},2})x_{k-1,1} \\ (x_{k,2} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},2})x_{k-1,2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} (x_{k,2} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},2})x_{k-1,1} \\ (x_{k,2} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},2})x_{k-1,2} \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^n (x_{k,2} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},2})^2 \\
&= \left(\begin{bmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \end{bmatrix} - G_n^{-1} h_{n,1} \right)^\top G_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \end{bmatrix} - G_n^{-1} h_{n,1} \right) - h_{n,1}^\top G_n^{-1} h_{n,1} \\
&\quad + \left(\begin{bmatrix} \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{bmatrix} - G_n^{-1} h_{n,2} \right)^\top G_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{bmatrix} - G_n^{-1} h_{n,2} \right) - h_{n,2}^\top G_n^{-1} h_{n,2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We can check that the matrix G_n is strictly positive definite. Indeed, $\sum_{k=1}^n x_{k-1,1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_{k-1,2}^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_{k-1,1}x_{k-1,2}\right)^2 > 0$ implies $\sum_{k=1}^n x_{k-1,1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_{k-1,2}^2 > 0$, and hence, $\sum_{k=1}^n x_{k-1,1}^2 > 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n x_{k-1,2}^2 > 0$. Consequently,

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_{1,1}^{(n)} \\ f_{1,2}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = G_n^{-1} h_{n,1}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} f_{2,1}^{(n)} \\ f_{2,2}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = G_n^{-1} h_{n,2}, \qquad F_n = \begin{bmatrix} h_{n,1}^\top \\ h_{n,2}^\top \end{bmatrix} (G_n^{-1})^\top = H_n G_n^{-1},$$

since

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_{n,1}^\top \\ h_{n,2}^\top \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} (x_{k,1} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},1})x_{k-1,1} & (x_{k,1} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},1})x_{k-1,2} \\ (x_{k,2} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},2})x_{k-1,1} & (x_{k,2} - m_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},2})x_{k-1,2} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^n (\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}) \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1}^\top = H_n,$$

hence we obtain (B.1). □

For the existence of these CLS estimators in case of a critical symmetric 2-type Galton–Watson process, i.e., when $\varrho = 1$, we need the following approximations.

B.2 Lemma. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold. For each $T > 0$, we have*

$$n^{-2} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_k^2 - \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle}{1 - \lambda^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_{k-1} \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Proof. In order to prove the satement, we derive a decomposition of $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_k^2$ as a sum of a martingale and some other terms. Using recursion (4.7), Lemma A.1 and (4.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(V_k^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\lambda V_{k-1} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_k + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle)^2 \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right] \\ &= \lambda^2 V_{k-1}^2 + 2\lambda\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle V_{k-1} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2 + \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \,\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{M}_k\boldsymbol{M}_k^\top \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \\ &= \lambda^2 V_{k-1}^2 + \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top(X_{k-1,1}\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1} + X_{k-1,2}\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2})\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} + \text{constant} + \text{constant} \times V_{k-1} \\ &= \lambda^2 V_{k-1}^2 + \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} U_{k-1} + \text{constant} + \text{constant} \times V_{k-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_k^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\left[V_k^2 - \mathbb{E}(V_k^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right] + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\mathbb{E}(V_k^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\left[V_k^2 - \mathbb{E}(V_k^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right] + \lambda^2\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-1}^2 + \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1} \\ &\qquad + \mathrm{O}(n) + \text{constant} \times \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_k^2 &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\left[V_k^2 - \mathbb{E}(V_k^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right] + \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2}\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\,\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1} \\ &\qquad - \frac{\lambda^2}{1-\lambda^2}V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}^2 + \mathrm{O}(n) + \text{constant} \times \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.8) with $(\ell, i, j) = (8, 0, 2)$ we obtain

$$n^{-2}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\left[V_k^2 - \mathbb{E}(V_k^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right]\right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Using (A.7) with $(\ell, i, j) = (3, 0, 2)$ we obtain $n^{-2}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$. Moreover, $n^{-2}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ as $n \to \infty$ follows by (A.6) with the choice $(\ell, i, j) = (8, 0, 1)$. Consequently, we obtain the statement. $\square$

B.3 Lemma. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold. Then for each* $T > 0$,

$$n^{-5/2}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \textit{as } n \to \infty.$$

Proof. The aim of the following discussion is to decompose $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1}$ as a sum of a martingale and some other terms. Using the recursions (4.7), (4.4) and Lemma A.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2}) &= \mathbb{E}\Big((U_{k-2}+\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_{k-1}+\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle)\big(\lambda V_{k-2}+\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_{k-1}+\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle\big)\,\Big|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2}\Big)\\
&= \lambda U_{k-2}V_{k-2}+\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle U_{k-2}+\lambda\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle V_{k-2}+\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^\top \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\\
&\quad +\boldsymbol{u}^\top\,\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{M}_{k-1}\boldsymbol{M}_{k-1}^\top\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})\boldsymbol{v}\\
&= \lambda U_{k-2}V_{k-2}+\text{constant}+\text{linear combination of } U_{k-2} \text{ and } V_{k-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1} &= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1}-\mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big]+\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})\\
&= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1}-\mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big]+\lambda\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}U_{k-2}V_{k-2}\\
&\quad +\mathrm{O}(n)+\text{linear combination of } \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}U_{k-2} \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}V_{k-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1} &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda}\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1}-\mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big]\\
&\quad -\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1}V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1}+\mathrm{O}(n)+\text{linear combination of } \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}U_{k-2} \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}V_{k-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.8) with $(\ell,i,j)=(4,1,1)$ we have

$$n^{-5/2}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1}-\mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big]\right|\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0 \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

Thus, in order to show the statement, it suffices to prove

$$\text{(B.2)}\qquad n^{-5/2}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor}U_k\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0,\qquad n^{-5/2}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor}|V_k|\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0,\qquad n^{-5/2}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}|\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0$$

as $n\to\infty$. Using (A.6) with $(\ell,i,j)=(2,1,0)$ and $(\ell,i,j)=(2,0,1)$, and (A.7) with $(\ell,i,j)=(3,1,1)$ we have (B.2), thus we conclude the statement. □

B.4 Lemma. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold. If* $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle=0$, *then for each* $T>0$,

$$n^{-1}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}V_k^2-Mt\right|\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}0 \qquad \textit{as } n\to\infty,$$

where M *is defined in Theorem 4.2.*

Moreover, $M = 0$ *if and only if* $\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2 = 0$*, which is equivalent to* $(1-\alpha) X_{k,1} \overset{\mathrm{a.s.}}{=} \beta X_{k,2}$ *for all* $k \in \mathbb{N}$*.*

Proof. First we show

$$n^{-1} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_k - \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda} t \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty \tag{B.3}$$

for each $T > 0$. Using recursion (A.5), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(V_k \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) = \lambda V_{k-1} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} [V_k - \mathbb{E}(V_k \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1})] + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{k-1} + \lfloor nt \rfloor \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_k = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} [V_k - \mathbb{E}(V_k \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1})] - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} V_{\lfloor nt \rfloor} + \lfloor nt \rfloor \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda}.$$

Using (A.12) with $(\ell, j) = (2, 1)$ we obtain

$$n^{-1} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[V_k - \mathbb{E}(V_k \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \big] \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Using (A.10) with $(\ell, i, j) = (2, 0, 1)$ we obtain $n^{-1} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |V_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and hence we conclude (B.3).

In order to prove the convergence in the statement, we derive a decomposition of $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_k^2$ as a sum of a martingale and some other terms. Using recursion (A.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(V_k^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\lambda V_{k-1} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k \rangle)^2 \,\middle|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right] \\ &= \lambda^2 V_{k-1}^2 + 2\lambda \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle V_{k-1} + \mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k \rangle^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_k^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[V_k^2 - \mathbb{E}(V_k^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \big] + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \mathbb{E}(V_k^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \big[V_k^2 - \mathbb{E}(V_k^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \big] + \lambda^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-1}^2 \\ &\quad + 2\lambda \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} V_{k-1} + \lfloor nt \rfloor \, \mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k \rangle^2). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_k^2 = \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\bigl[V_k^2 - \mathbb{E}(V_k^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\bigr] - \frac{\lambda^2}{1-\lambda^2}V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}^2$$

$$+ \frac{2\lambda\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda^2}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_{k-1} + \lfloor nt\rfloor \frac{\mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k\rangle^2)}{1-\lambda^2}.$$

Using (A.12) with $(\ell, j) = (4, 2)$ we obtain

$$n^{-1}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\bigl[V_k^2 - \mathbb{E}(V_k^2 \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\bigr]\right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

Using (A.10) with $(\ell, i, j) = (3, 0, 2)$ we obtain $n^{-1}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ as $n\to\infty$. Consequently, by (B.3), we obtain

$$n^{-1}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_k^2 - \frac{2\lambda\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)(1-\lambda^2)}t - \frac{\mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k\rangle^2)}{1-\lambda^2}t\right|$$

$$= n^{-1}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} V_k^2 - Mt\right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

Clearly $M = 0$ if and only if $\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle = 0$ and $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle = 0$, which is equivalent to $\mathbb{E}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i\rangle^2) = \langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2 = 0$ for all $i\in\mathbb{N}$, which is equivalent to $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k\rangle = (1-\alpha)\varepsilon_{k,1} - \beta\varepsilon_{k,2} \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} 0$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$, which is equivalent to $(1-\alpha)X_{k,1} - \beta X_{k,2} \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} 0$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. □

B.5 Lemma. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold. If* $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle = 0$, *then for each* $T > 0$,

$$n^{-2}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1} - \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-2}\right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \textit{as } n\to\infty.$$

Proof. The aim of the following discussion is to decompose $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1}$ as a sum of a martingale and some other terms. Using the recursions (4.4), (A.5), and Lemma A.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) &= \mathbb{E}\bigl((U_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{M}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle)(\lambda V_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k-1}\rangle) \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2}\bigr)\\
&= \lambda U_{k-2}V_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle U_{k-2} + \lambda\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle V_{k-2}\\
&\quad + \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle + \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{left}}^\top \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{M}_{k-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k-1}^\top \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-2})\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\\
&= \lambda U_{k-2}V_{k-2} + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle U_{k-2} + \text{constant} + \text{constant}\times V_{k-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1} &= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] + \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})\\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] + \lambda\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}U_{k-2}V_{k-2}\\ &\qquad + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}U_{k-2} + \mathrm{O}(n) + \mathrm{constant}\times\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}V_{k-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} U_{k-1}V_{k-1} &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda}\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big] - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1}V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor-1}\\ &\qquad + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda}\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}U_{k-2} + \mathrm{O}(n) + \mathrm{constant}\times\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}V_{k-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.11) with $(\ell,i,j)=(4,1,1)$ we have

$$n^{-2}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}\big[U_{k-1}V_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\,|\,\mathcal{F}_{k-2})\big]\right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

Thus, in order to show the statement, it suffices to prove

$$\text{(B.4)}\qquad n^{-2}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor}|V_k| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad n^{-2}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}V_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$$

as $n\to\infty$. Using (A.9) with $(\ell,i,j)=(2,0,1)$, and (A.10) with $(\ell,i,j)=(3,1,1)$ we have (B.4), thus we conclude the statement. □

Now we can prove asymptotic existence and uniqueness of CLS estimators of the offspring mean matrix and of the criticality parameter in the critical case.

B.6 Proposition. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold, and* $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle^2 > 0$. *Then* $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(\Omega_n)=1$, *where* Ω_n *is defined in* (3.2), *and hence the probability of the existence of a unique CLS estimator* $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)}$ *converges to 1 as* $n\to\infty$. *If* $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}\rangle > 0$ *then* $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{\Omega}_n)=1$, *where* $\widetilde{\Omega}_n$ *is defined in* (3.5), *and hence the probability of the existence of the estimator* $\widehat{\varrho}_n$ *converges to 1 as* $n\to\infty$.

Proof. Recall convergence $(n^{-1}U_{\lfloor nt\rfloor})_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (\mathcal{Y}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ from (4.6). Using Lemmas B.2, B.3, C.2 and C.3, one can show

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} n^{-3}U_{k-1}^2\\ n^{-5/2}U_{k-1}V_{k-1}\\ n^{-2}V_{k-1}^2\end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{bmatrix}\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2\,\mathrm{d}t\\ 0\\ \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\rangle}{1-\lambda}\int_0^1\mathcal{Y}_t\,\mathrm{d}t\end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

By (4.12) and continuous mapping theorem,

$$n^{-5}\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{B.5}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$, by the SDE (2.8), we have $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}_t = 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0,1]) = 0$, which implies that $\mathbb{P}\big(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t > 0\big) = 1$. Consequently, the distribution function of $\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t$ is continuous at 0.

If $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle > 0$ then, by (B.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) > 0\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(n^{-5}\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) > 0\right) \\ &\to \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t > 0\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t > 0\right) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

If $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$, then, using convergence (4.6) and Lemmas B.4, B.5, C.2 and C.3, one can show

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} n^{-3} U_{k-1}^2 \\ n^{-2} U_{k-1} V_{k-1} \\ n^{-1} V_{k-1}^2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \\ \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t \\ \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

By (4.12) and continuous mapping theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-4}\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2}\right) \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t - \left(\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} \left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t - \left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t\right)^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. As above, $\mathbb{P}\big(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t > 0\big) = 1$. It is also known that $\mathbb{P}\big(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t - \big(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t\big)^2 > 0\big) = 1$, see, e.g., the proof of Theorem 3.2 in Barczy et al. [2]. Consequently, the distribution function of the above limit distribution is continuous at 0. Since $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2 > 0$ and $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle = 0$, we have $\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle > 0$ or $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2 > 0$, hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) > 0\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(n^{-4}\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) > 0\right) \\ &\to \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle}{1-\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \rangle^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} \left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t^2 \, \mathrm{d}t - \left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}_t \, \mathrm{d}t\right)^2\right) > 0\right) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

If $\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{left}} \rangle > 0$, then (3.6) yields $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ as $n \to \infty$, and hence $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ as $n \to \infty$, thus $(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n\widehat{\gamma}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} (\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma = (1-\lambda)^2 > 0$, implying

$$\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{\Omega}_n) = \mathbb{P}\big((\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n\widehat{\gamma}_n \geqslant 0\big) \to 1 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

hence we obtain the satement. $\square$

Next we prove asymptotic existence and uniqueness of CLS estimators of the offspring mean matrix and of the criticality parameter in the subcritical case.

B.7 Proposition. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.8 hold. Then* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n) = 1$ *and* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{\Omega}_n) = 1$, *where* Ω_n *and* $\widetilde{\Omega}_n$ *are defined in* (3.2) *and* (3.5), *respectively, and hence the probability of the existence of unique CLS estimators* $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)}$ *and* $\widehat{\varrho}_n$ *converges to 1 as* $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Recall convergence

$$n^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbb{E}\Big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\Big), \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

from (7.2). Consequently,

$$n^{-1}\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \det\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\Big)\Big), \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

The matrix $\mathbb{E}\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\big)$ is invertible, see the proof of Theorem 3.8. Thus $\det\big(\mathbb{E}\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^\top\big)\big) > 0$, and hence we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_n) = \mathbb{P}(\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) > 0) = \mathbb{P}(n^{-1}\det(\boldsymbol{A}_n) > 0) \to 1, \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

The estimator $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)}$ is strongly consistent, hence $\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ as $n \to \infty$. Consequently,

$$(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \widehat{\delta}_n)^2 + 4\widehat{\beta}_n\widehat{\gamma}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} (\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma > 0, \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

and hence we obtain $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{\Omega}_n) = 1$. $\square$

C A version of the continuous mapping theorem

The following version of continuous mapping theorem can be found for example in Kallenberg [15, Theorem 3.27].

C.1 Lemma. *Let* (S, d_S) *and* (T, d_T) *be metric spaces and* $(\xi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, ξ *be random elements with values in* S *such that* $\xi_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \xi$ *as* $n \to \infty$. *Let* $f : S \to T$ *and* $f_n : S \to T$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *be measurable mappings and* $C \in \mathcal{B}(S)$ *such that* $\mathbb{P}(\xi \in C) = 1$ *and* $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_T(f_n(s_n), f(s)) = 0$ *if* $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_S(s_n, s) = 0$ *and* $s \in C$. *Then* $f_n(\xi_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} f(\xi)$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

For the case $S = \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $T = \mathbb{R}^q$ (or $T = \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^q)$), where d, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we formulate a consequence of Lemma C.1.

For functions f and f_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in $\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, we write $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{lu}} f$ if $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to f locally uniformly, i.e., if $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|f_n(t) - f(t)\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for all

$T > 0$. For measurable mappings $\Phi : \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^q$ (or $\Phi : \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^q)$) and $\Phi_n : \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^q$ (or $\Phi_n : \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^q)$), $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we will denote by $C_{\Phi,(\Phi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}}$ the set of all functions $f \in \mathsf{C}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\Phi_n(f_n) \to \Phi(f)$ (or $\Phi_n(f_n) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{lu}} \Phi(f)$) whenever $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{lu}} f$ with $f_n \in \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will use the following version of the continuous mapping theorem several times, see, e.g., Barczy et al. [3, Lemma 4.2] and Ispány and Pap [11, Lemma 3.1].

C.2 Lemma. *Let* $d, q \in \mathbb{N}$, *and* $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *and* $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_t^{(n)})_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *be* $\mathbb{R}^d$*-valued stochastic processes with càdlàg paths such that* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$. *Let* $\Phi : \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^q$ *(or* $\Phi : \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^q)$*) and* $\Phi_n : \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^q$ *(or* $\Phi_n : \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^q)$*),* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *be measurable mappings such that there exists* $C \subset C_{\Phi,(\Phi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}}$ *with* $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d))$ *and* $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \in C) = 1$. *Then* $\Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{(n)}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Phi(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}})$.

In order to apply Lemma C.2, we will use the following statement several times, see Barczy et al. [5, Lemma B.3].

C.3 Lemma. *Let* $d, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $h : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^q$ *be a continuous function and* $K : [0, 1]\times\mathbb{R}^{2d} \to \mathbb{R}^p$ *be a function such that for all* $R > 0$ *there exists* $C_R > 0$ *such that*

$$\|K(s, x) - K(t, y)\| \leqslant C_R (|t - s| + \|x - y\|) \tag{C.1}$$

for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$ *and* $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ *with* $\|x\| \leqslant R$ *and* $\|y\| \leqslant R$. *Moreover, let us define the mappings* $\Phi, \Phi_n : \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^{q+p}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *by*

$$\Phi_n(f) := \left(h(f(1)), \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{k}{n}, f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), f\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right)\right)\right),$$

$$\Phi(f) := \left(h(f(1)), \int_0^1 K(u, f(u), f(u))\,\mathrm{d}u\right)$$

for all $f \in \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$. *Then the mappings* Φ *and* Φ_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *are measurable, and* $C_{\Phi,(\Phi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}} = \mathsf{C}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d))$.

D Convergence of random step processes

We recall a result about convergence of random step processes towards a diffusion process, see Ispány and Pap [11]. This result is used for the proof of convergence (5.1).

D.1 Theorem. *Let* $\boldsymbol{\gamma} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times r}$ *be a continuous function. Assume that uniqueness in the sense of probability law holds for the SDE*

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_t = \gamma(t, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_t)\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{D.1}$$

with initial value $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_0 = \boldsymbol{u}_0$ *for all* $\boldsymbol{u}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$*, where* $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *is an* r*-dimensional standard Wiener process. Let* $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *be a solution of* (D.1) *with initial value* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_0 = \boldsymbol{0} \in \mathbb{R}^d$*.*

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$*, let* $(\boldsymbol{U}_k^{(n)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be a sequence of* d*-dimensional martingale differences with respect to a filtration* $(\mathcal{F}_k^{(n)})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$*, i.e.,* $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{U}_k^{(n)} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^{(n)}) = 0$*,* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $k \in \mathbb{N}$*. Let*

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_t^{(n)} := \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \boldsymbol{U}_k^{(n)}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Suppose that $\mathbb{E}\big(\|\boldsymbol{U}_k^{(n)}\|^2\big) < \infty$ *for all* $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$*. Suppose that for each* $T > 0$*,*

(i) $\sup\limits_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor} \operatorname{Var}\big(\boldsymbol{U}_k^{(n)} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^{(n)}\big) - \int_0^t \boldsymbol{\gamma}(s, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_s^{(n)}) \boldsymbol{\gamma}(s, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_s^{(n)})^\top \mathrm{d}s \right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0,$

(ii) $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT\rfloor} \mathbb{E}\big(\|\boldsymbol{U}_k^{(n)}\|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\boldsymbol{U}_k^{(n)}\|>\theta\}} \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^{(n)}\big) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ *for all* $\theta > 0$*,*

where $\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}$ *denotes convergence in probability. Then* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$ *as* $n \to \infty$*.*

Note that in (i) of Theorem D.1, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes a matrix norm, while in (ii) it denotes a vector norm.

References

[1] Athreya, K. B. and Ney, P. E. (1972). *Branching Processes*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg.

[2] Barczy, M., Döring, L., Li, Z. and Pap, G. (2013). On parameter estimation for critical affine processes. *Electronic Journal of Statistics* **7** 647–696.

[3] Barczy, M., Ispány, M. and Pap, G. (2010). Asymptotic behavior of CLS estimators of autoregressive parameter for nonprimitive unstable INAR(2) models. Available on the ArXiv: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.4641`.

[4] Barczy, M., Ispány, M. and Pap, G. (2011). Asymptotic behavior of unstable INAR(p) processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **121(3)** 583–608.

[5] Barczy, M., Ispány, M. and Pap, G. (2014). Asymptotic behavior of conditional least squares estimators for unstable integer-valued autoregressive models of order 2. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* **41(4)** 866–892.

[6] Danka, T. and Pap, G. (2016+). Asymptotic behavior of critical indecomposable multi-type branching processes with immigration. To appear in *European Series in Applied and Industrial Mathematics (ESAIM). Probability and Statistics*. Available on the ArXiv: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.3440`.

[7] Haccou, P., Jagers, P. and Vatutin, V. 2005. *Branching Processes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

[8] Hamilton, J. D. (1994). *Time series analysis.* Princeton University Press, Princeton.

[9] Horn, R. A. and Johnson, Ch. R. (1985). *Matrix Analysis.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

[10] Ispány, M., Körmendi, K. and Pap, G. (2014). Asymptotic behavior of CLS estimators for 2-type doubly symmetric critical Galton–Watson processes with immigration. *Bernoulli* **20(4)** 2247–2277.

[11] Ispány, M. and Pap, G. (2010). A note on weak convergence of step processes. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* **126(4)** 381–395.

[12] Ispány, M. and Pap, G. (2014). Asymptotic behavior of critical primitive multi-type branching processes with immigration. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* **32(5)** 727–741.

[13] Ispány, M., Pap, G. and van Zuijlen, M. C. A. (2003). Asymptotic inference for nearly unstable INAR(1) models. *Journal of Applied Probability* **40(3)** 750–765.

[14] Jacod, J. and Shiryaev, A. N. (2003). *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes*, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[15] Kallenberg, O. (1997). *Foundations of Modern Probability.* Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg.

[16] Kesten, H. and Stigum, B. P. (1966). A limit theorem for multidimensional Galton–Watson processes. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **37(5)** 1211–1223.

[17] Klimko, L. A. and Nelson, P. I. (1978). On conditional least squares estimation for stochastic processes. *The Annals of Statistics* **6(3)** 629–642.

[18] Lehmann, E.L. and Romano, J. P. (2009). *Testing Statistical Hypotheses,* 3rd ed. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

[19] Musiela, M. and Rutkowski, M. (1997). *Martingale Methods in Financial Modelling*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.

[20] Putzer, E. J. (1966). Avoiding the Jordan canonical form in the discussion of linear systems with constant coefficients. *The American Mathematical Monthly* **73(1)** 2–7.

[21] Quine, M. P. (1970). The multi-type Galton–Watson process with immigration. *Journal of Applied Probability* **7(2)** 411–422.

[22] Quine, M. P. and Durham, P. (1977). Estimation for multitype branching processes. *Journal of Applied Probability* **14(4)** 829–835.

[23] Revuz, D. and Yor, M. (2001). *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, 3rd ed., corrected 2nd printing. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[24] Tanaka, K. (1996). *Time Series Analysis, Nonstationary and Noninvertible Distribution Theory*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.

[25] Velasco, M.G., Puerto, I.M., Martínez, R., Molina, M., Mota, M., and Ramos, A. 2010. *Workshop on Branching Processes and Their Applications*, Lecture Notes in Statistics, Proceedings 197, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.

[26] Wei, C. Z. and Winnicki, J. (1989). Some asymptotic results for the branching process with immigration. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **31(2)** 261–282.

[27] Wei, C. Z. and Winnicki, J. (1990). Estimation of the means in the branching process with immigration. *The Annals of Statistics* **18** 1757–1773.

[28] Winnicki, J. (1988). Estimation theory for the branching process with immigration. In: *Statistical inference from stochastic processes* (Ithaca, NY, 1987), 301–322, Contemp. Math., 80, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

[29] Winnicki, J. (1991). Estimation of the variances in the branching process with immigration. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* **88(1)** 77–106.